

# EE6340 - Information Theory

## Problem Set 2 Solution

February 21, 2013

1. a) From  $Z=X+Y$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(Z = z|X = x) = \mathbb{P}(Y = Z - x|X = x)$

$$\begin{aligned} H(Z|X) &= \sum_x p(x)H(Z|X = x) \\ &= - \sum_x p(x) \sum_z p(Z = z|X = x) \log_2 p(Z = z|X = x) \\ &= - \sum_x p(x) \sum_z p(Y = z - x|X = x) \log_2 p(Y = z - x|X = x) \\ &= \sum_x p(x)H(Y|X = x) \\ &= H(Y|X) \end{aligned}$$

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent,  $H(Y|X) = H(Y)$ .

Also,  $H(Z|X) \leq H(Z)$  (conditioning reduces entropy).

$\therefore H(Z) \geq H(Z|X) = H(Y|X) = H(Y)$

$\implies H(Z) \geq H(Y)$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $H(Z) \geq H(X)$ .

- b) Consider the two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(X = 0) = 0.5$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(X = 1) = 0.5$  and  $X = -Y$  (dependent). So,  $H(X) = H(Y) = 1$  bit, while  $H(Z) = 0$  since  $\mathbb{P}(z = 0) = 1$ .

- c)  $H(Z) \leq H(X, Y) \leq H(X) + H(Y)$ .

This is because  $Z$  is a function of  $X$  and  $Y$  and  $I(X; Y) \geq 0$ . Both equalities are satisfied if  $Z$  is a bijection from  $(X, Y)$  ( $\implies H(Z) = H(X, Y)$ ) and  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent ( $\implies H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y)$ ).

2. a) We use algebra of entropies for the proof. Since  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  have disjoint support sets, define a function of  $X$ ,

$$\theta = f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } X = X_1 \\ 2 & \text{when } X = X_2 \end{cases}$$
$$\begin{aligned} H(X) &= H(X, f(X)) = H(\theta) + H(X|\theta) \\ &= H(\theta) + p(\theta = 1)H(X|\theta = 1) + p(\theta = 2)H(X|\theta = 2) \\ &= H(\alpha) + \alpha H(X_1) + (1 - \alpha)H(X_2) \end{aligned}$$

where  $H(\alpha) = -\alpha \log_2 \alpha - (1 - \alpha) \log_2 (1 - \alpha)$

- b) To maximise over  $\alpha$ ,

$$\frac{dH(X)}{d\alpha} = 0$$

$$\implies \text{we get } \alpha_{max} = \frac{2^{H(X_1)}}{2^{H(X_1)} + 2^{H(X_2)}}$$

Substituting  $\alpha_{max}$  in  $H(X)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_{max}(X) &= \log(2^{H(X_1)} + 2^{H(X_2)}) \\ \implies H(X) &\leq H_{max}(X) = \log(2^{H(X_1)} + 2^{H(X_2)}) \\ \therefore 2^{H(X)} &\leq 2^{H(X_1)} + 2^{H(X_2)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the effective alphabet sizes add if  $\alpha$  is chosen as  $\alpha_{max}$ .

c) Since  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are Uniformly distributed,  $H(X_1) = \log m$  and  $H(X_2) = \log(n - m)$ .  
 $\therefore \alpha_{max} = \frac{m}{n}$  and  $H_{max}(X) = \log(2^{H(X_1)} + 2^{H(X_2)}) = \log(m + n - m) = \log n$

3. Let  $P_1 = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i, \dots, p_j, \dots, p_m\}$  and  
 $P_2 = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, \frac{p_i+p_j}{2}, \dots, \frac{p_i+p_j}{2}, \dots, p_m\}$

$$\begin{aligned} H(P_2) - H(P_1) &= -2\left(\frac{p_i+p_j}{2}\right)\log_2\left(\frac{p_i+p_j}{2}\right) + p_i\log_2 p_i + p_j\log_2 p_j \\ &= -(p_i+p_j)\log_2\left(\frac{p_i+p_j}{2}\right) + p_i\log_2 p_i + p_j\log_2 p_j \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Log-sum inequality} \implies \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \log \frac{a_i}{b_i} \geq \left(\sum a_i\right) \log \frac{\sum a_i}{\sum b_i}$$

$$\implies H(P_2) - H(P_1) \geq -p_i\log_2 p_i - p_j\log_2 p_j + p_i\log_2 p_i + p_j\log_2 p_j = 0$$

$\therefore H(P_2) \geq H(P_1)$ .

Any transfer of probability that makes the distribution more uniform increases the entropy.

4. Since the run-lengths are functions of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , we can say  $H(R) \leq H(X)$ .  
 Any one  $X_i$  together with the run-lengths determines the entire sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ .  
 Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) &= H(X_i, R) \\ &= H(R) + H(X_i|R) \\ &\leq H(R) + H(X_i) \\ &\leq H(R) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

5. a) Example for  $I(X; Y|Z) < I(X; Y)$ :  
 $X$  is a binary Random variable and  $Y=X, Z=Y$ . In this case,  
 $I(X; Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y) = 1 - 0 = 1$  and  
 $I(X; Y|Z) = H(X|Z) - H(X|Y, Z) = 0 - 0 = 0 \implies \geq I(X; Y|Z) < I(X; Y)$
- b) Example for  $I(X; Y|Z) > I(X; Y)$ :  
 As in Problem 1, consider two binary independent random variables  $X, Y$  such that  $Z=X+Y$ .  
 $\implies I(X; Y) = 0$   
 But,  $I(X; Y|Z) = H(X|Z) - H(X|Y, Z) = H(X|Z) - 0 = H(X|Z) = \frac{1}{2} \implies I(X; Y|Z) > I(X; Y)$

6. By chain rule,

$$I(X_1; X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n) = I(X_1; X_2) + I(X_1; X_3|X_2) + \dots + I(X_1; X_n|X_2, X_3, \dots, X_{n-1})$$

By the property of Markov chain, given the present, past and future are independent. So, all terms in the above equation except the first one are 0.

$$\implies I(X_1; X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n) = I(X_1; X_2)$$