

## Lecture 5: Properties of Probability Measures

Lecturer: Dr. Krishna Jagannathan

Scribe: Ajay M, Gopal Krishna Kamath M

## 5.1 Properties

In this lecture, we will derive some fundamental properties of probability measures, which follow directly from the axioms of probability. In what follows,  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  is a probability space.

- **Property 1:-** Suppose  $A$  be a subset of  $\Omega$  such that  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{P}(A^c) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(A). \quad (5.1)$$

**Proof:-** Given any subset  $A \in \Omega$ ,  $A$  and  $A^c$  partition the sample space. Hence,  $A^c \cup A = \Omega$  and  $A^c \cap A = \emptyset$ . By the "Countable Additivity" axiom of probability,  $\mathbb{P}(A^c \cup A) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(A^c) \implies \mathbb{P}(\Omega) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(A^c) \implies \mathbb{P}(A^c) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(A)$ .

- **Property 2:-** Consider events  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $A \subseteq B$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}(B)$

**Proof:-** The set  $B$  can be written as the union of two disjoint sets  $A$  and  $A^c \cap B$ . Therefore, we have  $\mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(A^c \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(B) \implies \mathbb{P}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}(B)$  since  $\mathbb{P}(A^c \cap B) \geq 0$ .

- **Property 3:- (Finite Additivity)** If  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  are finite number of disjoint events, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i). \quad (5.2)$$

**Proof:-** This property follows directly from the axiom of *countable additivity* of probability measures. It is obtained by setting the events  $A_{n+1}, A_{n+2}, \dots$  as empty sets. LHS will simplify as:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right).$$

RHS can be manipulated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i) &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{P}(A_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=n+1}^k \mathbb{P}(A_i) \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 0 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i). \end{aligned}$$

where (a) follows from the definition of an infinite series and (b) is a consequence of setting the events from  $A_{n+1}$  onwards to null sets.

- **Property 4:-** For any  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cup B) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B) - \mathbb{P}(A \cap B). \quad (5.3)$$

In general, for a family of events  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i) - \sum_{i < j} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j) + \sum_{i < j < k} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k) + \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right). \quad (5.4)$$

This property is proved using induction on  $n$ . The property can be proved in a much more simpler way using the concept of *Indicator Random Variables*, which will be discussed in the subsequent lectures.

**Proof of Eq (5.3):-** The set  $A \cup B$  can be written as  $A \cup B = A \cup (A^c \cap B)$ . Since  $A$  and  $A^c \cap B$  are disjoint events,  $\mathbb{P}(A \cup B) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(A^c \cap B)$ . Now, set  $B$  can be partitioned as,  $B = (A \cap B) \cup (A^c \cap B)$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{P}(B) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap B) + \mathbb{P}(A^c \cap B)$ . On substituting this result in the expression of  $\mathbb{P}(A \cup B)$ , we will obtain the final result that  $\mathbb{P}(A \cup B) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B) - \mathbb{P}(A \cap B)$ .

- **Property 5:-** If  $\{A_i, i \geq 1\}$  are events, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i\right). \quad (5.5)$$

This result is known as *continuity of probability measures*.

**Proof:-** Define a new family of sets  $B_1 = A_1, B_2 = A_2 \setminus A_1, \dots, B_n = A_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i, \dots$ .

Then, the following claims are placed:

*Claim 1:-*  $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset, \forall i \neq j$ .

*Claim 2:-*  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ .

Since  $\{B_i, i \geq 1\}$  is a disjoint sequence of events, and using the above claims, we get

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(B_i).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(B_i) \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{P}(B_i) \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m B_i\right) \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{=} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, (a) follows from the definition of an infinite series, (b) follows from *Claim 1* in conjunction with *Countable Additivity* axiom of probability measure and (c) follows from the intermediate result required to prove *Claim 2*.

Hence proved.

- **Property 6:-** If  $\{A_i, i \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of increasing nested events i.e.  $A_i \subseteq A_{i+1}, \forall i \geq 1$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(A_m). \quad (5.6)$$

- **Property 7:-** If  $\{A_i, i \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of decreasing nested events i.e.  $A_{i+1} \subseteq A_i \forall i \geq 1$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(A_m). \quad (5.7)$$

Properties 6 and 7 are said to be corollaries to Property 5.

- **Property 8:-** Suppose  $\{A_i, i \geq 1\}$  are events, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i). \quad (5.8)$$

This result is known as the *Union Bound*. This bound is trivial if  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i) \geq 1$  since the LHS of (5.8) is a probability of some event. This is a very widely used bound, and has several applications. For instance, the union bound is used in the probability of error analysis in Digital Communications for complicated modulation schemes.

**Proof:-** Define a new family of sets  $B_1 = A_1, B_2 = A_2 \setminus A_1, \dots, B_n = A_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i, \dots$ .

*Claim 1:-*  $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset, \forall i \neq j$ .

*Claim 2:-*  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ .

Since  $\{B_i, i \geq 1\}$  is a disjoint sequence of events, and using the above claims, we get

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(B_i).$$

Also, since  $B_i \subseteq A_i \forall i \geq 1, \mathbb{P}(B_i) \leq \mathbb{P}(A_i) \forall i \geq 1$  (using Property 2). Therefore, the finite sum of probabilities follow

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(B_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(A_i).$$

Eventually, in the limit, the following holds:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(B_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i).$$

Finally we arrive at the result,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i).$$

## 5.2 Exercises

1. a) Prove *Claim 1* and *Claim 2* stated in Property 5.

- b) Prove Properties 6 and 7, which are corollaries of Property 5.
2. A standard card deck (52 cards) is distributed to two persons: 26 cards to each person. All partitions are equally likely. Find the probability that the first person receives all four aces.
  3. Consider two events  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(A) > 1 - \delta$  and  $\mathbb{P}(B) > 1 - \delta$ , for some very small  $\delta > 0$ . Prove that  $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)$  is close to 1.
  4. **[Grimmett]** Given events  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ , prove that,

$$\mathbb{P}(\cup_{1 \leq r \leq n} A_r) \leq \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left( \sum_{1 \leq r \leq n} \mathbb{P}(A_r) - \sum_{r:r \neq k} \mathbb{P}(A_r \cap A_k) \right)$$

5. Consider a measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  with  $\Omega = [0, 1]$ . A measure  $\mathbb{P}$  is defined on the non-empty subsets of  $\Omega$  (in  $\mathcal{F}$ ), which are all of the form  $(a, b)$ ,  $(a, b]$ ,  $[a, b)$  and  $[a, b]$ , as the length of the interval, i.e.,  $\mathbb{P}((a, b)) = \mathbb{P}((a, b]) = \mathbb{P}([a, b)) = \mathbb{P}([a, b]) = b - a$ .
  - a) Show that  $\mathbb{P}$  is not just a measure, but its a probability measure.
  - b) Let  $A_n = [\frac{1}{n+1}, 1]$  and  $B_n = [0, \frac{1}{n+1}]$ , for  $n \geq 1$ . Compute  $\mathbb{P}(\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i)$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(\cap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i)$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_i)$  and  $\mathbb{P}(\cap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_i)$ .
  - c) Compute  $\mathbb{P}(\cap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (B_i^c \cup A_i^c))$ .
  - d) Let  $C_m = [0, \frac{1}{m}]$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(C_m) = \mathbb{P}(A_n)$ . Express  $m$  in terms of  $n$ .
  - e) Evaluate  $\mathbb{P}(\cap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (C_i \cap A_i))$  and  $\mathbb{P}(\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (C_i \cap A_i))$ .
6. **[Grimmett]** You are given that at least one of the events  $A_n$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq N$ , is certain to occur. However, certainly no more than two occur. If  $\mathbb{P}(A_n) = p$  and  $\mathbb{P}(A_n \cap A_m) = q$ ,  $m \neq n$ , then show that  $p \geq \frac{1}{N}$  and  $q \leq \frac{2}{N}$ .