Ampere's Law

24th January 2007

Consider

$$\vec{B}(\vec{r}) = -\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \vec{j}(\vec{r}') \times \nabla \frac{1}{R_{12}} dV'$$

Taking the curl, we obtain

$$abla imes ec{B}(ec{r}) = -rac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int
abla imes \left(ec{j}(ec{r}') imes
abla rac{1}{R_{12}}
ight) dV'$$

Now the term in brackets is given by

$$\vec{j}(\vec{r}') \times \nabla \frac{1}{R_{12}} = \varepsilon_{ikl} \hat{x}_i j_k \partial_l \frac{1}{R_{12}} = -\varepsilon_{ilk} \hat{x}_i \partial_l \frac{j_k}{R_{12}} = -\nabla \times \frac{\vec{j}(\vec{r}')}{R_{12}}$$

Thus,

$$\nabla \times \vec{B}(\vec{r}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \nabla \times \left(\nabla \times \frac{\vec{j}(\vec{r}')}{R_{12}} \right) dV'$$

$$= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \left[\nabla \left(\nabla \cdot \frac{\vec{j}(\vec{r}')}{R_{12}} \right) - \vec{j}(\vec{r}') \nabla^2 \frac{1}{R_{12}} \right] dV'$$

$$= \mu_0 \vec{j}(\vec{r}) + \mu_0 \nabla \left(\int \nabla \cdot \frac{\vec{j}(\vec{r}')}{R_{12}} dV' \right)$$
(1)

Now, if the second term is zero we are done. The integral in the second term becomes

$$\begin{split} \int \nabla \cdot \frac{\vec{j}(\vec{r}')}{R_{12}} dV' &= \int \vec{j}(\vec{r}') \cdot \nabla \frac{1}{R_{12}} dV' \\ &= -\int \vec{j}(\vec{r}') \cdot \nabla' \frac{1}{R_{12}} dV' \\ &= -\int \nabla' \cdot \frac{\vec{j}(\vec{r}')}{R_{12}} dV' + \int \frac{1}{R_{12}} \nabla' \cdot \vec{j}(\vec{r}') dV' \\ &= -\oint \frac{\vec{j}(\vec{r}')}{R_{12}} \cdot \vec{dS}' + \int \frac{1}{R_{12}} \nabla' \cdot \vec{j}(\vec{r}') dV' \end{split}$$

Assuming that the currents are localized, the surface integral vanishes. For static fields, the divergence of current is zero. Equation 1 therefore becomes

$$abla imes ec B = \mu_0 ec j$$

Had the field not been static, the divergence of current would be related to $\partial \rho / \partial t$. However, this does not lead to the generalized Ampere's Law, since the Biot Savart Law itself is invalid for time varying fields. That law assumes that a current at \vec{r}' at time t creates a magnetic field at another point \vec{r} at the same time. Just like Coulomb's law, this violates relativity and we need to modify things to take care of time varying fields.