



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS

TCF Evaluation:JUL-NOV 2018

Employee ID :008505

Faculty Name : KRISHNA JAGANNATHAN

Course No :EE5150

Course Name : Communication Networks

Responses / Regn :38/44

Department :Electrical Engineering

Summary						
Evaluation	Mean	Median	Std Dev	MAD	Dept Mean	Institute Mean
Course	0.79	0.80	0.14	0.08	0.78	0.78
Instructor	0.82	0.80	0.12	0.07	0.81	0.81

Question-Wise Response								
Question No	SA	A	N	DA	SDA	NA	Mean	Institute Mean
1	11	24	3	0	0	0	0.84	0.82
2	15	19	2	2	0	0	0.85	0.80
3	18	17	2	1	0	0	0.87	0.84
4	10	22	6	0	0	0	0.82	0.80
5	7	13	10	7	1	0	0.69	0.81
6	15	18	4	0	1	0	0.84	0.84
7	10	18	9	1	0	0	0.79	0.80
8	11	19	6	1	1	0	0.80	0.76
9	10	16	8	3	1	0	0.76	0.73
10	8	19	9	0	2	0	0.76	0.78
11	10	25	2	0	1	0	0.83	0.80

NOTE:SA(STONGLY AGREE)=10 A(AGREE)=8 N(NEUTRAL)=6 DA(DISAGREE)=4 SDA(STONGLY DISAGREE)=2 NA(Not Applicable/Do not wish to answer)=0

Question list
1.The course objectives were stated clearly and met largely
2.The concepts of the course were communicated well
3.The instructor was enthusiastic about the topics presented
4.The examples/case-studies/illustrations used in the class improved the learning experience
5.The quizzes and exams were graded in an impartial and timely manner
6.The instructor was punctual and followed the class schedule closely
7.The course was planned and structured well
8.The course motivated me to explore the subject area with interest
9.The involvement of TAs helped effectively in improving the learning experience
10.Tutorials and assignments were conducted effectively
11.Overall, the course provided a good value-addition to my knowledge/skill-set

NOTE:Qn 1 to 6 - Instructor evaluation : Qn 7 to 11 - Course evaluation

Student Remarks

He is a nice teacher... Communicates with students.

Teaching was clear and the instructor patient explained each and every topic with almost clarity. I understand that one semester is small to teach all the topics, but attempt can be made to cover more topics like scheduling and MAC next time.

Dr. Jagannathans approach to teach queuing theory is appreciable, but there are some areas where he can improve such as: 1) He can be better in teaching Poisson process, as his choice of reference(Gallager notes) is not prefect. 2) As some the graduates are first timers, he should have kept in mind that there would be a big bridge to fill between theory and problem solving skill. 3) Could have provided problems from other references, as the subject is interesting to learn from students perspect

good

Number of Students who didn't fill the TCF for this Course:1**Comments by students who didn't fill the TCF for this Course**

The TCF app restricts users to Android and does not provide a service to users who have a non-Android phone or even a smartphone. I do not like such vendor lock-in for core services even though I use Android and as such Im not filling the feedback