



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS

TCF Evaluation:JAN-MAY 2019

Employee ID :008505

Faculty Name : KRISHNA JAGANNATHAN

Course No :EE5121

Course Name : Convex Optimization

Responses / Regn :54/69

Department :Electrical Engineering

Summary						
Evaluation	Mean	Median	Std Dev	MAD	Dept Mean	Institute Mean
Course	0.74	0.76	0.15	0.12	0.79	0.78
Instructor	0.73	0.77	0.18	0.13	0.82	0.81

Question-Wise Response								
Question No	SA	A	N	DA	SDA	NA	Mean	Institute Mean
1	15	20	11	5	3	0	0.74	0.82
2	11	21	12	6	4	0	0.71	0.80
3	11	21	11	6	5	0	0.70	0.84
4	9	21	14	6	4	0	0.69	0.80
5	10	21	14	6	1	2	0.73	0.81
6	14	27	10	3	0	0	0.79	0.84
7	9	25	12	4	4	0	0.71	0.80
8	12	21	12	7	2	0	0.73	0.77
9	13	25	12	3	1	0	0.77	0.73
10	8	24	15	5	2	0	0.71	0.78
11	12	28	11	2	1	0	0.78	0.80

NOTE:SA(STRONGLY AGREE)=10 A(AGREE)=8 N(NEUTRAL)=6 DA(DISAGREE)=4 SDA(STRONGLY DISAGREE)=2 NA(Not Applicable/Do not wish to answer)=0

Question list
1.The course objectives were stated clearly and met largely
2.The concepts of the course were communicated well
3.The instructor was enthusiastic about the topics presented
4.The examples/case-studies/illustrations used in the class improved the learning experience
5.The quizzes and exams were graded in an impartial and timely manner
6.The instructor was punctual and followed the class schedule closely
7.The course was planned and structured well
8.The course motivated me to explore the subject area with interest
9.The involvement of TAs helped effectively in improving the learning experience
10.Tutorials and assignments were conducted effectively
11.Overall, the course provided a good value-addition to my knowledge/skill-set

NOTE:Qn 1 to 6 - Instructor evaluation : Qn 7 to 11 - Course evaluation

Student Remarks
The course should have been handled by a single instructor instead of two different instructors.
Please let Rama Seshan teach the second half of the course next time.
Not enthusiastic. Lot of pauses in the lecture. He frequently forgets what he wanted to teach. Explains simple stuff and does not spend more time on the complicated proofs.
TAs are inefficient in their tutorial discussion. Apart from teaching the necessary theory for the subject, tutorial sessions could have handled better for betterment of the students. I faced a great difficulty in applying the concepts that were learned to the tutorial questions given. Also, there was a little help from the TAs when it comes to explaining the solutions.
Very useful course for research.
the lectures werent organized properly at all
TAs and the tutorials they set taught so much more than the classes. Not enough intuitive and visual explanations for a math heavy course is unacceptable
good

Number of students who were not willing to participate in the evaluation for this course:0

Comments by students who didn't fill the TCF for this Course
No Remarks Given