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#### Abstract
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Arrayed Waveguide Gratings (AWGs) are useful as MUX/DEMUX for fiber optic Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing (DWDM) applications and can also be used in Silicon On Insulator (SOI) based optical interconnect technologies. The high index contrast of SOI waveguides allow us to make very compact structure, but found to be highly sensitive to fabrication related imperfections. Uniformity in arrayed waveguide dimensions and/or effective indices are key factors for the realization of SOI based AWG structures.

In this work, we designed a nearly polarization independent AWG in SOI with 2- $\mu m$ device layer thickness and a compact AWG in SOI with 220-nm device layer thickness. The devices can (de-)multiplex 8 channels with 100 GHz spacing and has a free spectral range of 800 GHz - uniform over a wide range of wavelength encompassing $\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{L}$ bands. AWG on $2-\mu m$-SOI (220-nm-SOI) consists of an array of 40 (28) waveguides with a differential length of $\Delta L=109$ (85.09) $\mu m$. Phase error due to width variations in the arrayed waveguides is a huge problem for AWGs especially in high index contrast platforms like SOI. Hence, study on phase error analysis of AWG is very essential to study the fabrication tolerance of the device. However, the most popular commercial FDTD design tools demand huge computational budget for simulating an AWG. Therefore, a rugged design tool is necessary to analyze the effect of imperfection in the waveguide geometry on the spectral characteristics of AWG . A semi-analytical method using Gaussian beam approximation of guided mode profile has been developed to analyze the spectrum of arrayed waveguide gratings on different platforms. This method has been validated using RSoft BeamPROP and published experimental results. The method is found to be accurate and faster compared to the commercial numerical methods. The phase error analysis of the designed AWGs has been carried out using this


method. It has been found that for AWG fabricated on $2-\mu m$-SOI ( $220-n m$-SOI), the tolerable width variation is $\pm 13 \mathrm{~nm}( \pm 2 \mathrm{~nm})$ to have a crosstalk less than -10 dB . The proposed AWG on $2-\mu m$-SOI has been fabricated using i-line lithography (365 $n m$ ), followed by reactive ion etching $\left(\mathrm{SF}_{6}\right.$ : $\mathrm{Ar}:: 20 \mathrm{sccm}: 20 \mathrm{sccm}$, Pressure : 200 mTorr, RF Power : 150 W ). The width variation introduced by the fabrication in the arrayed waveguides were more than 100 nm which was above the tolerable limit to get a satisfactory performance. Improved fabrication techniques like e-beam lithography and deep UV lithography ( 193 nm ) can be used to get a better structural uniformity compared to i-line lithography technique. Post fabrication trimming using UV rays can also be used to reduce the non-uniformity in arrayed waveguides.
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## ABBREVIATIONS

| Acronyms |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| AWG | Arrayed Waveguide Grating |
| Band C | Conventional wavelength band $(\lambda \sim 1527$ to 1567 nm$)$ |
| Band $\mathbf{L}$ | Long wavelength band $(\lambda \sim 1567$ to 1607 nm$)$ |
| BOX | Buried Oxide |
| BPM | Beam Propagation Method |
| DC | Direct Current |
| DI | De-ionized (water) |
| DWDM | Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing |
| FDTD | Finite Difference Time Domain |
| FEM | Finite Element Method |
| FPR | Free Propagation Region |
| FSR | Free Spectral Range |
| ICP | Inductively Coupled Plasma |
| PPR | Positive Photoresist |
| RF | Radio Frequency |
| RIE | Reactive Ion Etching |
| SEM | Scanning Electron Microscope |
| SOI | Silicon-On-Insulator |
| TE | Transverse Electric (polarization) |
| TM | Transverse Magnetic (polarization) |
| UV | Ultra-Violet |
| Chemical |  |
| Ar | Arges |
| Cr | Chromium |
| HF | Hydro Fluoric Acid |


| $\mathbf{H N O}_{3}$ | Nitric Acid |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathbf{O}$ | Water |
| $\mathbf{N a O H}$ | Sodium Hydroxide |
| $\mathbf{S F}_{6}$ | Sulfur Hexafluoride |
| $\mathbf{S i}$ | Silicon |
| $\mathbf{S i O}_{2}$ | Silicon dioxide |
| $\mathbf{T C E}$ | Tri-chloro Ethylene |

## Units

dB Decibel
$\mathrm{Hz} \quad$ Hertz
mW milli Watts
mT milli Torr
$\boldsymbol{\mu m} \quad$ Micro meter

| $\mathbf{M}$ | Molar |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{n m}$ | nano meter |

scem standard cubic centimeter per minute
mTorr milli-Torr (of pressure)
mbar milli-Bar (of pressure)
ml milli-liter (of fluid)
V Volts (of fluid)

## NOTATION

| $\mathbf{n}$ | Refractive index |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{n}_{e f f}$ | Effective refractive index |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | Complex electric field amplitude |
| $\Psi$ | Real electric field amplitude |
| $\Delta$ | Del operator |
| $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ | Wavelength |
| $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ | Propagation constant |
| $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ | Phase of the EM wave |
| $\mathbf{f}$ | focal length of FPR |
| $\mathbf{R}$ | Waveguide bending radius |
| $\mathbf{L}$ | Length (refers to device length, component length) |
| $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ | Overlap integral coefficient |
| $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ | Loss per unit length |
| $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{d B}$ | Polarization extinction |
| $\boldsymbol{W}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ | 1/e width of electric field distribution in horizontal direction (along x-axis) |
| $\boldsymbol{W}_{\boldsymbol{y}}$ | 1/e width of electric field distribution in vertical direction (along y-axis) |
| $\boldsymbol{W}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | Beam waist/Minimum spot size |

## CHAPTER 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Motivation

Photonic interconnects are better choice compared to conventional electrical interconnects because of their higher speed of operation and lower power dissipation (in terms of energy per bit). Over the last few years, outstanding progress has been made in the field of integrated optics, with the advancements in the CMOS-compatible fabrication techniques. Possible power savings and high speed interconnects were the driving thoughts for the growth of integrated optics. As the number of internet users increased, the demand for more data bandwidth led to revolution in the optical data links starting from early coaxial cable to multi channel WDM systems. Wavelength Division Multiplexing (WDM) is a technique used to improve the bandwidth efficiency of the channel. Multiple wavelengths carrying different signals can be transmitted simultaneously along a single communication media using this technique. Two commonly used methodologies of Wavelength Division Multiplexing are Coarse Wavelength Division Multiplexing (CWDM) and Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing (DWDM). Channel spacing of CWDM is $\sim 20-30 \mathrm{~nm}$ and are commonly used in local communication networks (eg : Metro Television). DWDM signal channels are very closely spaced with channel spacing $\sim 0.8-1.6 \mathrm{~nm}$ and demands highly sophisticated designing and execution since the channels are densely packed. The three most commonly used techniques for MUX/DEMUX applications are ring resonators, Fiber Bragg Gratings (FBGs) and Arrayed Waveguide Gratings (AWGs). Using ultra small ring resonators [1, 2] and coupled ring with different radii [3, 4] MUX/DEMUX operation can be performed. It is possible to extend the free spectral range up to 30 nm to include more number of channels using this technique. However, ring based MUX/DEMUX has an inherent disadvantage that they are only suited for low to medium channels (4-8 channels) and this approach rely on high-resolution Electron Beam Lithography [EBL] [5, 6] to
obtain the uniform channel spacing and becomes more complex when more number of wavelength need to be multiplexed. Nowadays, AWGs are widely used because it provides uniform channel spacing, reasonable bandwidth of passband, good extinction ratio and lower crosstalk. Moreover, AWG has an advantage of lesser price per channel with increasing the channel count. With the advancements in the CMOS fabrication techniques, it is possible to realize AWG (de-)multiplexers with very small footprint. FBGs are shown to be working well for low to medium channels (4-8 channels) for a channel spacing of 50 GHz or lower.

Arrayed waveguide grating (AWG) has wide-spread applications in fiber-optic dense wavelength division multiplexing systems. They have been successfully demonstrated in different platforms such as $\operatorname{InP}[7,8,9], \mathrm{SiO}_{2}[10,11], \mathrm{Su}-8$ polymer [12], $\mathrm{Si}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4}[13]$, SOI [14, 15] etc. The higher refractive index of SOI device layer and its CMOS fabrication process compatibilities allow to design AWG structures with smaller footprints [16], which may be potentially useful for on-chip optical interconnect applications. The crosstalk due to signal-noise interference in a AWG based $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}$ optical interconnect network has already been studied [17]. Moreover, extensive researches are going on to reduce the sidelobe [18], polarization dependency [19] and improve the crosstalk [20] etc. It has been also shown recently that the fabrication related non-uniformity and/or inhomogeneities in waveguide dimensions in an AWG, especially when fabricated in high index contrast SOI platform play a crucial role in cross-talk degradation [21]. A nanometer-scale random variation in waveguide cross-sections causes a huge phase error accumulation and subsequently, resulting into large deviations in the spectral characteristics of a SOI based AWG [22, 23]. Fabrication tolerance of integrated optic devices on SOI platform were studied extensively [24,25]. The use of fabrication tolerant waveguides [26] and bends [27] should reduce the effect of fabrication imperfections of the arrayed waveguides. AWG layouts can also be improved to reduce the phase error [28]. E-beam lithography [29] and deep UV lithography (193-nm) [30] are most commonly used method for fabricating AWGs, since they provide a better structural uniformity. Feasibility of using i-line lithography in fabrication of AWGs on $2-\mu m$-SOI has not been studied extensively till now. In spite of all these advancements, phase error remains as a major issue to be resolved. However, most of the recent researches on AWG does not analyze the distortion in the spectrum due to fabrication
induced imperfections [31, 32, 33]. Therefore, a rugged design tool is required to analyze the device performance and to estimate fabrication tolerance of SOI based AWG structures with desired specifications. Previous attempts to analyze the performance of AWG using semi analytical methods were limited to $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}-\mathrm{Ta}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ AWGs [34] and InP AWGs [35], which were designed with relatively larger waveguide geometry. The FDTD design tools are available but they demand for a huge computational budget as the effective footprint of an AWG can be $\sim 5 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ or more. The BPM simulation is relatively faster but not suitable for compact AWGs which have sharp bends and tightly confined modes.

### 1.2 Research Objective

Arrayed Waveguide Gratings fabricated on high index contrast SOI substrates have very poor fabrication tolerance. Simulation and analysis of phase error of this structure using commercial simulators demand huge computation budget because of the large footprint and very small features of the device. The objective of this M.S. research work is to develop a semi-analytical method to analyze the AWG using Gaussian beam approximation of guided mode profiles which will be nearly accurate and faster compared to the commercial FDTD design tools and study the fabrication tolerance of AWGs in general especially on AWG fabricated using commonly used i-line contact lithography technique.

### 1.3 Thesis Organization

Chapter 2 explains the design aspects of AWG on $2-\mu m$-SOI and $220-n m$-SOI. Chapter 3 describes the Gaussian beam approximation of guided mode profiles to simulate AWG. Comparison of the spectrum of AWG using this method and commercial simulators like RSOFT BeamPROP and Lumerical (for sub micron waveguide cross sections) has been discussed. The phase error analysis of AWG on SOI with different device layer thickness ( $2-\mu m$ and $220-n m$ ) is done in this chapter. In chapter 4, fabrication of AWG on $2-\mu m$-SOI using i-line lithography has been discussed. The non uniformity in
the fabricated arrayed waveguide geometry of the fabricated device has been analyzed. Finally, chapter 5 summarizes this work and explains the outlook of the entire work.

## CHAPTER 2

## Theory and Design

In this chapter, basic working principle and design aspects of AWGs are discussed. Effects of various parameters on the spectral characteristics of AWG are explained and an optimized design of AWG on Silicon-On-Insulator (SOI) with device layer thicknesses of $2-\mu m$ and $220-n m$ are discussed.

### 2.1 Working principle



Figure 2.1: Scheme of conventional AWG. The device consists of Rowland circle geometry. The input/output waveguides are placed on the circumference of a circle (Rowland circle) which has a radius half of that of the circle (Grating circle) where the arrayed waveguides are placed.

A scheme of conventional AWG has been shown in Fig. 2.1. AWG consists of input/output waveguides, arrayed waveguides and input/output Free Propagation Regions (FPRs). The FPRs are designed based on the Rowland circle geometry. The input waveguides (arrayed waveguides) are placed on the periphery of a circle called Rowland circle (Grating circle). Radius of the Rowland circle is half of that of the Grating
circle. The Rowland circle theorem says that if we have a source on the periphery of the Rowland circle, the diffracted beams from the Grating circle will make an image on the Rowland circle itself (see Appendix A). The incoming DWDM signals which are having wavelengths with very small channel spacing propagates into the input FPR. Once it enters the input FPR, the beam diverges in a similar fashion irrespective of wavelength. The light is collected by and propagates individually in the arrayed waveguides. Each of the arrayed waveguides is having a path length greater than the previous one equal to the integer multiple of the center wavelength $\left(\lambda_{c}\right)$ in the arrayed waveguide.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta L=\frac{m \lambda_{c}}{n_{e f f}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is the grating order, $\lambda_{c}$ is the center wavelength and $n_{\text {eff }}$ is the effective index of the arrayed waveguide. So, for the center wavelength, the wave in each arm will reach at the output FPR with the same relative phase and it makes an image at the center of the output FPR (refer Fig. 2.1). For a wavelength smaller (larger) than the center wavelength, $\lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{8}\right)$ the phase front will be tilting upwards (downwards) and the focusing point will be above (below) the focusing point of the center wavelength $\left(\lambda_{c}\right)$. At these focal points on the output FPR, output waveguides are placed to properly confine and guide out the focused fields.

### 2.2 Design Parameters

The complete design of an arrayed waveguide grating is explained with the help of Fig. 2.2 below [36].

Considering a general case of arrayed waveguide grating with one input and $N$ number of outputs. The enlarged view of output FPR has been shown in Fig. 2.2. Consider two light rays passing through the $i^{t h}$ and $(i-1)^{t h}$ arrayed waveguide. The input FPR will be having similar configuration with one input. $f$ is the focal length of the FPR. The phase difference between two light rays passing through $i^{\text {th }}$ and $(i-1)^{t h}$ arrayed waveguides should be an integer multiple of $2 \pi$ for those two rays to have a constructive interference at point $x$ in the output focal plane. $D_{i}$ and $D_{o}$ are the separation between


Figure 2.2: Input/output FPR model. f is the focal length of FPR, $D_{i}$ is the separation between arrayed waveguides, $D_{o}$ is the separation between output waveguides, i is the number of arrayed waveguide, V and W are the focusing points at the output focal plane and O is the reference point at which $x=0$. It is assumed that the light from different arrayed waveguides are accumulated at a distance $x$ from the reference point O .
neighboring arrayed waveguides and output waveguides respectively. From Fig. 2.2, $Y W=Y O=f$, the focal length of the ouptut FPR. Consider $x$ as the focal point at the output focal plane, $x=f \theta$. Since $X Z=D_{i}$ and angle between $X Z$ and $W_{2} Z$ is $\theta$, from the right angled triangle $X W_{2} \mathrm{Z}$ we can derive $X W_{2}=D_{i} \sin \theta$. Similar logic can be applied to right angled triangle, $Y W_{1} Z$ to get $Y W_{1}=\left(D_{i} / 2\right) \sin \theta$. Assuming $f$ is large enough to consider $X W, Y W$ and $Z W$ to be parallel to each other we can easily derive $X W=f+\left(D_{i} / 2\right) \sin \theta$ and $Z W=f-\left(D_{i} / 2\right) \sin \theta$. Assuming the angle of dispersion $\theta$ to be very small, we can assume $\sin \theta \approx \theta=x / f$. Hence, $X W=f+\left(D_{i} / 2\right) \sin \theta=f+\left(D_{i} x / 2 f\right)$ and $Z W=f-\left(D_{i} / 2\right) \sin \theta=f-\left(D_{i} x / 2 f\right)$. So, the total phase accumulated at $x$ for a light ray passing through $i^{\text {th }}$ arrayed waveguide

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i}=\beta_{s} f+\beta_{e f f}\left(L_{c}+i \Delta L\right)+\beta_{s}\left(f+\frac{D_{i} x}{2 f}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the total phase accumulated at $x$ for a light ray passing through $(i-1)^{t h}$ arrayed waveguide

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i-1}=\beta_{s} f+\beta_{e f f}\left(L_{c}+(i-1) \Delta L\right)+\beta_{s}\left(f-\frac{D_{i} x}{2 f}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\beta_{s}\left(2 \pi n_{s} / \lambda, n_{s}\right.$ is the effective index of slab) and $\beta_{\text {eff }}\left(2 \pi n_{\text {eff }} / \lambda, n_{\text {eff }}\right.$ is the effective index of the arrayed waveguide) are the propagation constants of the FPR and arrayed waveguide respectively. $L_{c}$ is the smallest arrayed waveguide length, $i$ is the arrayed waveguide number and $\Delta L$ is the incremental length between any two neighboring arrayed waveguides.

So the condition for interference at $x$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i}-\Phi_{i-1}=2 m \pi \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where m is an integer and substituting eqn. 2.2 and 2.3 to eqn. 2.4,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{e f f} \Delta L+\beta_{s} \frac{D_{i} x}{f}=2 m \pi \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $\beta_{e f f}=2 \pi n_{\text {eff }} / \lambda$ and $\beta_{s}=2 \pi n_{s} / \lambda$ we get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{e f f} \Delta L+n_{s} \frac{D_{i} x}{f}=m \lambda \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have chosen $\Delta L$ in such a way that $\beta_{e f f} \Delta L=2 m \pi$ for the center wavelength $\left(\lambda_{c}\right)$. Hence the focusing point for the center wavelength will be at $x=0$ at the output focal plane as per eqn. 2.6. Differentiating eqn. 2.6 with respect to the wavelength $\lambda$ around the center wavelength $\lambda_{c}$, and substituting $m=\frac{n_{e f f} \Delta L}{\lambda_{c}}$ we get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{s} \frac{D_{i}}{f} \frac{d x}{d \lambda}-\frac{1}{\lambda_{c}} n_{e f f} \Delta L+\frac{d n_{e f f}}{d \lambda} \Delta L=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rearranging the eqn. 2.7 we get the dispersion relation for AWG, shown in eqn. 2.8

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x}{d \lambda}=\frac{n_{g} \Delta L f}{\lambda n_{s} D_{i}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{g}\left(n_{e f f}-\lambda \frac{d n_{e f f}}{d \lambda}\right)$ is the group index of the arrayed waveguide. Now to find the FSR, we can use eqn. 2.6. For a frequency $\nu+F S R$, let the change in arrayed waveguide refractive index ( $n_{e f f}$ ) be $\Delta n_{\text {eff }}$ and the effective index of slab region is independent of the frequency within the FSR region of frequencies. So, the interference condition for frequency $\nu+F S R$ for the order $m+1$ is given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{s} \frac{D_{i} x}{f}+\left(n_{e f f}+\Delta n_{e f f}\right) \Delta L=(m+1) \frac{c}{\nu+F S R} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta n_{e f f}=\frac{d n_{e f f}}{d \nu} F S R=\frac{d n_{e f f}}{d \lambda} \frac{-c}{\nu^{2}} F S R \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting eqn. 2.10 in 2.9 and subtracting eqn. 2.6 from it with the approximation $\nu(\nu+F S R) \approx \nu^{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F S R=\frac{c}{n_{g} \Delta L} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

in terms of frequency and in terms of wavelength,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F S R=\frac{\lambda_{c} n_{e f f}}{m n_{g}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are the basic equations used for designing AWGs.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F S R=\frac{\lambda_{c} n_{e f f}}{m n_{g}}=N_{c h a n} \Delta \lambda \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $F S R$ is the free spectral range, $\lambda_{c}$ is the center wavelength of operation, $n_{e f f}$ is the effective index of the waveguide, $n_{g}$ is the group index of the waveguide, $m$ is the grating order, $N_{\text {chan }}$ is the number of output channels, $\Delta \lambda$ is the channel spacing. The only unknown, the grating order $m$ can be found from eqn. 2.13. Using eqn. 2.14, the length increment of the arrayed waveguides region, $\Delta L$ can be found.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta L=\frac{m \lambda_{c}}{n_{e f f}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only remaining unknown parameter, the focal length of FPR $(f)$ can be found by modifying eqn. 2.7 as given below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{D_{i} D_{o} n_{s} n_{e f f}}{m \Delta \lambda n_{g}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $D i\left(D_{o}\right)$ is the separation between input (output) waveguides of FPR, $n_{s}$ is the effective index of the slab region.

Commercially procured SOI wafer has various standard device layer thickness. 220$n m$ device layer SOI wafers are used to make very compact devices for on-chip optical interconnect applications with CMOS-compatible fabrication techniques, but the device is found to have higher polarization dependency and waveguide dispersion. In applications like integrated photonic micro-spectrographs [37], where the fiber has been directly connected to the AWG on chip, $2-\mu m$ device layer thickness is preferred to minimize the coupling loss. The importance of waveguides fabricated on $2-\mu \mathrm{m}$-SOI comes in this context. So, the recent trend is to design interconnect devices with relatively large waveguide cross-section devices $(2-3 \mu m)$ [38, 39]. However, the advantages of single mode guiding properties and polarization independencies in such larger waveguide cross-section waveguides are not fully exploited.

### 2.3 Design with 2- $\mu m$-SOI

Commercially used DWDM channel spacings are $0.8 \mathrm{~nm}(100 \mathrm{GHz}$ ) and 0.4 nm (50 $G H z$ ). Most of the DWDM system presently uses $100 G H z$ channel spacing. Design of an 8 channel $100 G H z$ AWG on $2-\mu m$-SOI has been explained in this chapter. Optimization of various structural parameters such as waveguide width (W), waveguide height $(\mathrm{H})$, slab height $(\mathrm{h})$, separation between arrayed waveguides $\left(D_{i}\right)$, number of arrayed waveguides required, separation between output waveguides ( $D_{o}$ ) and minimum bend radius that can be used is discussed below.

### 2.3.1 $\quad$ Single Mode Waveguide

Single mode waveguide is the fundamental building block of any integrated optoelectronic device. Fig. 2.3 shows scheme of a rib waveguide on SOI platform. Here, air and buried oxide layer (BOX) act as the cladding and the confinement along the vertical direction is provided by the total internal reflection at the top (Silicon-air) and bottom (Silicon-BOX) boundaries. The difference in height between rib height (H) and slab height (h) provides the horizontal confinement of the field. One needs to carefully choose waveguide width (W), rib height (H) and slab height (h), so that the geometry supports only single mode of operation for both TE and TM polarization.


Figure 2.3: Schematic cross-section view of SOI rib waveguide, W is the rib height, H is the rib height and h is the slab height. $\mathrm{W}, \mathrm{H}$ and h can be carefully chosen to ensure single mode guiding.

RSOFT - FEMSIM has been used in order to estimate the waveguide width (W) and slab height (h), which ensure single mode guiding at around $\lambda=1550 \mathrm{~nm}$. The slab height (h) has been fixed to be $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ to obtain a strong horizontal confinement of the mode. This is very essential as there are lot of bend waveguides with very small bend radii. Now keeping $\mathrm{H}=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\mathrm{h}=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, effective index of both fundamental and first order mode for TE and TM polarization have been monitored by varying W. A rib waveguide becomes multimoded when the first order mode effective index becomes greater than the effective index of the slab waveguide (for a particular polarization). In Fig. 2.4, $N_{0}^{T E}\left(N_{0}^{T M}\right)$ and $N_{1}^{T E}\left(N_{1}^{T M}\right)$ represent the fundamental and first order mode effective indices of TE (TM) polarization. For TE polarization, $N_{1}^{T E}$ at $\mathrm{W} \sim 2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, becomes larger than slab effective index, which means for $\mathrm{W}>2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ the first order mode
starts guiding and the waveguide becomes muti-moded for TE polarization. Similarly, for TM polarization, for $\mathrm{W}>1.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ the waveguide becomes multi-moded. In order to ensure single mode operation around 1550 nm for both TE and TM polarizations, the waveguide width W should be less than $1.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figure 2.4: Waveguide dispersion relation for extracting waveguide parameters for single mode operation of $2-\mu m$-SOI. For $\mathrm{W}>1.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ the effective index of first order modes are greater than the slab modes and hence the waveguide will be multimoded.

Conventional AWG device (Fig. 2.1) consists of lot of bend waveguides of different bend radii and hence it is necessary to design a waveguide geometry which provides good confinement of mode. Fig. 2.5 compares mode profiles of two waveguides of width $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ respectively, keeping $\mathrm{h}=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $\mathrm{H}=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. As we reduce the waveguide width, we are reducing the effective index of the core region and thereby the index contrast between the core and the slab regions. As we see from Fig. 2.5, the mode becomes less confined when waveguide width is $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Hence W has been chosen to be $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

### 2.3.2 Input FPR

Optimization of separation between Arrayed Waveguides $\left(D_{i}\right)$ : Separation between arrayed waveguides $\left(D_{i}\right)$ at input FPR - arrayed waveguide junction and output FPR -


Figure 2.5: Simulated mode profiles of the rib waveguide fo $\mathrm{H}=2 \mu m, \mathrm{~h}=1 \mu m$ (a) W $=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (b) $\mathrm{W}=1.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
arrayed waveguide junction is very crucial because of the following reasons.

1. Loss : As we increase the separation between arrayed waveguides, keeping the arrayed waveguide width constant, more light gets radiated through the gap and the loss at the input FPR - arrayed waveguides junction increases.
2. Phase error due to coupling : As we reduce the separation between arrayed waveguides, there will be unwanted coupling between them which will account for phase errors.


Figure 2.6: Theoretical junction loss at input FPR - arrayed waveguide junction as a function of separation between arrayed waveguides $\left(D_{i}\right)$.

Hence it is important to find a trade off between loss and phase error. For device
fabricated on $2-\mu m$-SOI, a value of $1 \mu m$ will be sufficient to minimize the coupling between arrayed waveguides near FPR - arrayed waveguide junction for the designed waveguide parameters $(\mathrm{W}=1.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~h}=1 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. Due to the diffraction effects during the lithographic process, a slight increment in the separation between arrayed waveguides is expected. So the loss at the input FPR - arrayed waveguide junction as a function of separation between arrayed waveguides was studied and the result has been shown in Fig. 2.6. When the separation between arrayed waveguide increases from $1 \mu m$ to $2 \mu m$, an increment in loss of nearly 1 dB is expected.

Optimization of number of arrayed waveguides : The light diverging from the input waveguide at the input FPR, should be collected efficiently without much loss using large number of arrayed waveguides. However, increasing the number of arrayed waveguides will ultimately result in larger footprint of the device. S. Pathak et. al [30], explains a rule of thumb that can be used to decide the number of arrayed waveguides.

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=3.5 \frac{F S R}{\Delta \lambda} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $N$ is the number of arrayed waveguides, $\Delta \lambda$ is the channel spacing and FSR is estimated using eqn. 2.13. So in our case, for an 8 channel, 100 GHz AWG, number of arrayed waveguides required is 28 .


Figure 2.7: Light collection efficiency of the arrayed waveguides at the inpt FPR - arrayed waveguide junction as a function of number of arrayed waveguides $(N)$.

However, as mentioned earlier, the light collection efficiency at the input FPR arrayed waveguide junction is a major factor in determining the number of arrayed waveguides, which is defined as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Light collection efficiency }=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{i}}{P_{L}} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $N$ is the total number of arrayed waveguides, $P_{i}$ is the power coupled to $i^{t h}$ arrayed waveguide and $P_{L}$ is the launch power. For a $2-\mu m$-SOI AWG, keeping the separation between arrayed waveguide as $1 \mu m$ (as discussed in section 2.3.1), we plotted the light collection efficiency as a function of number of arrayed waveguides. Using 28 numbers of arrayed waveguides as explained by the thumb rule, the light collection efficiency is found to be only $60 \%$ as shown in Fig. 2.7. The light collection efficiency almost saturates at nearly $75 \%$ as we increase the number of arrayed waveguides above 40. When there is an increase in the number of arrayed waveguides there is an effective increase in the FPR width only. FPR length remains constant since it is independent of number of arrayed waveguides. Hence the light collected by outermost arrayed waveguide is minimal and the light collecting efficiency does not improve beyond a point. It has been found that increasing the number of arrayed waveguides beyond 40 will not yield any additional benefit, but will increase the footprint of the device. So for the AWG on $2-\mu m$-SOI the number of arrayed waveguides has been chosen to be 40 .

### 2.3.3 Output FPR

Optimization of separation between output waveguides $\left(D_{o}\right)$ :
Separation between output waveguides ( $D_{o}$ ) can be increased to ensure sufficiently low crosstalk between two neighboring channels. From eqn. 2.15 we know that as separation $\left(D_{o}\right)$ between output waveguide increases, the focal length of FPR and the total footprint of the device increases.

From Fig. 2.8 one can conclude that a separation of $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ between output waveguides, improves the crosstalk to a value less than -30 dB for an AWG on $2-\mu m$-SOI.


Figure 2.8: Crosstalk and Rowland circle radius as a function of output waveguide separation ( $D_{o}$ ) for AWG fabricated on $2-\mu m$-SOI. A separation value of $3 \mu m$ gives a crosstalk $\sim-32 \mathrm{~dB}$.

### 2.3.4 Arrayed waveguides

Optimization of bending radius : Bend waveguides are incorporated in AWGs, with different bend radii to provide the required path length difference $(\Delta L)$ between two neighboring arrayed waveguides. It is important to determine the minimum bend radius that can be used which will ensure minimal bend loss. The bend loss as a function of bend radius is analyzed in this section.

A typical $90^{\circ}$ waveguide consists of two straight sections (AB and CD) and a bend section (BC) as shown in Fig. 2.9. Mode profiles of a straight and bend waveguides are compared in Fig. 2.10.

The guided mode in bend waveguide region will be shifted towards the outer side of the bend and will be different to the guided mode in straight waveguide region due to the bend induced effective index change. This shift or change in the mode profile give rise to two types of losses in the bend waveguides.

Total loss in a $90^{\circ}$ bend can be divided into two.

1. Transition loss : At the junctions B and C in Fig. 2.9, due to the mode mismatch between modes of straight and bend region, there will be a transition loss. As we reduce the bend radius, the bend induced refractive index change will be high and the transition


Figure 2.9: Schematic representation of losses in a $90^{\circ}$ bend.
loss also will be high.
2. Radiation loss : As mentioned earlier when the mode shifts more toward the outer side of the bend region there is a higher probability that coupling to the higher order radiating modes can happen. Since the waveguide geometry supports the single mode guiding, the light that is getting coupled to the higher order modes gets radiated. For bend waveguide of smaller bend radius the mode shift is more and there is a higher probability of light getting coupled to the higher order radiating modes. The imaginary part of the refractive index of the bend region gives us the radiation loss.


Figure 2.10: Calculated mode profiles of a straight and a $90^{\circ}$ bend waveguide (radius $100 \mu m$ ) on $2-\mu m$-SOI using Lumerical mode solver.

The total loss due to a $90^{\circ}$ bend is the sum of transition loss that is happening at the two boundaries and the radiation loss.

Lumerical full vectorial mode solver (2015b) has been used to solve for the modes
and effective indices of bend and straight waveguides (see Fig. 2.10). The overlap integral between these two modes will give us the transition loss. The imaginary part of effective index of the bend region will give the radiation loss. Hence,

The total loss due to a $90^{\circ}$ bend $=2 \times$ Transition loss + Radiation loss

The estimated total loss due to a $90^{\circ}$ bend for different bend radii for both TE and TM polarization for a waveguide on 2- $\mu \mathrm{m}$-SOI, which is plotted in Fig. 2.11.


Figure 2.11: Bend loss of a $90^{\circ}$ bend for different bend radii for waveguides on $2-\mu \mathrm{m}$ SOI. For a particular bend radius, loss for TM polarization is less compared to TE polarization.

For a given bend radius, the radiation loss and the transition loss are low for TM mode compared to the TE mode. This is because, TM mode is more confined in the waveguide core region because of its higher index contrast compared to the TE mode. Hence, the light that gets coupled higher order radiating mode is less for TM mode compared to TE mode. From Fig. 2.11, we can see that the loss increases rapidly for bend radius less than $750 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Hence the minimum bend radius was chosen to be 1000 $\mu m$ for the arrayed waveguides.

### 2.3.5 Optimized design parameters

Various design aspects of AWG are discussed till now. RSOFT AWG design package is used to design the AWG with the optimum design parameters, which are shown in Table
2.1(TE polarization). For TM polarization, the effective index of the arrayed waveguide is found to be 3.441 . So for TM polarization we expect a lateral shift of approximately 0.38 nm .

Two ways of designing AWGs are possible. One with fixed bend radius for all the arrayed waveguides and the second one with different bend radii for different arrayed waveguides. In the first case, the path length difference required between any two arrayed waveguides should be incorporated mainly in the straight waveguide regions, because the bend radii are fixed. In the second case, $\Delta L$ can be introduced mainly in the bend region since we have the flexibility of choosing the bend radii. Obviously, the second design is more compact compared to the first design. The minimum bend radius that has been used for designing AWG on $2-\mu m$-SOI is $1000 \mu m$ and the total foot print of the device was found to be $1.7 \mathrm{~cm} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Table 2.1: Optimized design parameters of AWG on $2-\mu m$-SOI.

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of channels | 8 |
| Channel spacing | $100 \mathrm{GHz}(0.8 \mathrm{~nm})$ |
| Effective index of arrayed waveguide $\left(\mathrm{n}_{a}\right)$ | 3.441 |
| Slab effective index $\left(\mathrm{n}_{s}\right)$ | 3.623 |
| Grating order (m) | 242 |
| $\Delta L$ | $108.9 \mu m$ |
| Number of arrayed waveguides | 40 |
| Separation between arrayed waveguides near FPR | $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\left(D_{i}\right)$ | $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Separation between output waveguides near FPR $\left(D_{o}\right)$ | $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Rowland circle diameter | $594 \mu m$ |
| Smallest bend radius used | $1000 \mu m$ |
| Total footprint of the device | $1.7 \mathrm{~cm} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~cm}$ |

The designed AWG is simulated using RSOFT - BeamPROP. The simulation results are shown in Fig. 2.12. Different colors in the simulation results represent different channels. The simulation gives a loss of nearly 2 dB near the central wavelength (1.55 $\mu m$ ) mainly because of the loss in the $1 \mu m$ separation between arrayed waveguides near the input FPR region and the diffraction loss at the output FPR. The light diffracted towards the outermost channels ( $1.5496 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $1.5532 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) are less compared to the central channels (around $1.55 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). So there will be a non uniformity in the transmission loss for outermost channels of AWG. The channel non uniformity for the proposed AWG design is around 2 dB .


Figure 2.12: 3D simulation result of the designed AWG for the parameters shown in Table 2.1 using Rsoft-BeamPROP.

### 2.4 Design with 220-nm-SOI

AWG fabricated on $2-\mu m$-SOI has some specific application as explained in section 2.3. But for on-chip applications, AWG fabricated on $2-\mu m$-SOI is not useful due to the larger footprint of the device. Devices fabricated on 220-nm-SOI are very compact and used in on-chip optical interconnect applications. A design has been carried out for AWG on 220-nm-SOI, similar to the design of AWG on $2-\mu m$-SOI as explained in 2.3.

### 2.4.1 Single Mode waveguide

Lumerical Mode Solver has been used for determining the waveguide parameters of 220-nm-SOI waveguides. The slab height (h) is assumed to be zero to ensure strong confinement of the mode. This will help to design very compact bends and thereby reduce the total footprint of the device. From Fig. 2.13, when width of the waveguide, W $>0.9 \mu m$ first order TM mode effective index $\left(N_{0}^{T M}\right)$ becomes greater than slab effective index $\left(N_{s l a b}=1.45\right)$ and the mode starts guiding. Similarly for TE mode, the cut off width is found to be $0.58 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. So the width has been decided to be $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, to ensure single mode guiding for both TE and TM polarizations.


Figure 2.13: Waveguide dispersion relation for extracting waveguide parameters for single mode operation of $220-\mathrm{nm}$-SOI.

### 2.4.2 Input FPR

## Optimization of separation between Arrayed Waveguides $\left(D_{i}\right)$ :

For an AWG on 220-nm-SOI, since the mode is tightly confined in the waveguide, a separation of nearly 50 nm between arrayed waveguides will be sufficient to avoid the coupling between two arrayed waveguides. The loss at the junction due to a separation of 50 nm is found to be around 1.8 dB .

The loss due to fabrication imperfections at the junction has been studied and plotted in Fig. 2.14. If the separation widens to 100 nm , the loss increases to 2.75 dB .


Figure 2.14: Theoretical junction loss at input FPR - arrayed waveguide junction as a function of separation between arrayed waveguides $\left(D_{i}\right)$.

Optimization of number of arrayed waveguides: For AWG on 220-nm-SOI, keeping the separation between arrayed waveguides as 50 nm , the light collection efficiency has been calculated by varying the number of arrayed waveguide. When the number of arrayed waveguides are more than 20 , the light collection efficiency almost saturates at $70 \%$. But considering the rule of thumb as in eqn. 2.16, the minimum number of arrayed waveguide required has been kept as 28 .


Figure 2.15: Light collection efficiency of the arrayed waveguides at the inpt FPR arrayed waveguide junction as a function of number of arrayed waveguides ( $N$ ).

### 2.4.3 Output FPR

Optimization of separation between output waveguides ( $D_{o}$ ) : For an AWG on 220$n m$-SOI, increasing the separation between output waveguides will improve the crosstalk. However the increase in $D_{o}$ will increase the FPR area and thereby the entire footprint of the device. Increasing the value of $D_{o}$ from 100 nm to 500 nm improves the crosstalk by 5 dB as shown in Fig. 2.16. But in order to reduce the total footprint of the device, the separation has been fixed to be 300 nm . For this particular separation between arrayed arrayed waveguide the crosstalk is found to be around -28 dB .


Figure 2.16: Crosstalk and Rowland circle radius as a function of output waveguide separation ( $D_{o}$ ) for AWG fabricated on 220-nm-SOI. A separation value of 300 nm gives a crosstalk $\sim-28 \mathrm{~dB}$.

### 2.4.4 Arrayed waveguides

Optimization of bending radius : Similar to AWG on $2-\mu m$-SOI waveguides, bend loss has been calculated for AWG on 220-nm-SOI for TE and TM polarization.

Unlike waveguides on $2-\mu m$-SOI, the confinement is very high in this case and very compact bends can be used for designing of AWG. From Fig. 2.17, a bend radius of 10 $\mu m$ can be used for making compact bends. Also the confinement of the mode for TE polarization is higher compared to TM polarization and hence the loss is small for TE polarization compared to TM polarization for a given bend radius.


Figure 2.17: Bend loss of a $90^{\circ}$ bend for different bend radii for waveguides on 220nm -SOI. For a particular bend radius, loss for TE polarization is less compared to TM polarization.

### 2.4.5 Optimized design parameters

For AWG fabricated on 220-nm-SOI, we adopted a horse shoe structure [40], which gives the minimum possible footprint. The approximate area calculated is found to be $0.79 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.78 \mathrm{~mm}$.

The effective index of arrayed waveguides for TM polarization on $220-n m-S O I$ is found to be 1.589. This will create a lateral shift of approximately $0.517 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ which explains that the devices fabricated on 220-nm-SOI waveguides are highly polarization dependent. The complete optimized parameters for TE polarization of AWG designed on 220-nm-SOI has been given in Table 2.2.

### 2.5 Summary

The complete design parameters of AWG on $2-\mu m$ SOI and $220-n m$-SOI has been optimized. Free propagation Region of AWG designed on $2-\mu m$-SOI can be simulated with RSOFT-BeamPROP. The addition in phase due to arrayed waveguides should be analytically incorporated before simulating the output FPR. AWG designed on 220-nm-SOI can not be simulated using RSOFT BeamPROP, since the waveguides are high contrast

Table 2.2: Optimized design parameters of AWG on 220-nmSOI.

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of channels | 8 |
| Channel spacing | $100 \mathrm{GHz}(0.8 \mathrm{~nm})$ |
| Effective index of arrayed waveguide $\left(\mathrm{n}_{a}\right)$ | 2.386 |
| Group Index $\left(\mathrm{n}_{g}\right)$ | 4.417 |
| Grating order $(\mathrm{m})$ | 131 |
| $\Delta L$ | $85.09 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Number of arrayed waveguides | 28 |
| Separation between arrayed waveguides near FPR $\left(D_{i}\right)$ | 50 nm |
| Separation between output waveguides near FPR $\left(D_{o}\right)$ | 300 nm |
| Rowland circle diameter | $3.28 \mathrm{\mu m}$ |
| Smallest bend radius used | $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Total footprint of the device | $0.79 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.78 \mathrm{~mm}$ |

in nature and there are sharp bends for the structure. Only option is to go for 3D FDTD, which takes approximately 75 hours to simulate the structure. Moreover the phase error analysis due to fabrication imperfections remains a major concern for AWG designers. Because of its large footprint and very small features, numerical computations may not be appropriate for simulating a structure like AWG. Hence, it is important to develop an analytic method for simulating AWG which will be accurate and faster compared to existing numerical methods.

## CHAPTER 3

## Modeling and Phase Error Analysis

AWG is very sensitive to fabrication related phase errors. A nanometer-scale random variation in waveguide cross-sections causes a huge phase error accumulation and subsequently, resulting into large deviations in the spectral characteristics of a SOI based AWG. Therefore, a rugged design tool is required to analyze the device performance and to estimate fabrication tolerance of SOI based AWG structures with desired specifications. The FDTD design tools are available but they demand for a huge computational budget as the effective footprint of an AWG can be $\sim 5 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ or more. The BPM simulation is relatively faster but not suitable for compact AWGs which have sharp bends and tightly confined modes.

In this chapter, a semi-analytical model using Gaussian beam approximation is used for the guided mode to analyze the output spectrum of AWG. Previous attempts to analyze the performance of AWG using Gaussian beam approximation were limited to $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}-\mathrm{Ta}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ AWGs [34] and InP AWGs [35], which were designed with relatively larger waveguide geometry. Gaussian approximation method has also been used in modeling of flat top AWGs using Multi Mode Interference (MMI) couplers [41]. The present model uses Gaussian beam approximations for fundamental guided modes in rib waveguides and free propagation regions of the SOI based AWGs. The performance characteristics calculated by our model have been found to be in close agreement with the results obtained by commercial design tools and with published experimental results of AWGs demonstrated with photonic wire waveguides in SOI platform.

### 3.1 Modeling

A typical AWG consists of input/output waveguides, input/output free propagation regions (FPRs) and an array of waveguides in between (as shown in Fig. 3.1). The


Figure 3.1: Scheme of the AWG used for modeling: three different colours are used for representing the phase-fronts of three channels $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{c}, \lambda_{N}$; FPR - free propagation region.


Figure 3.2: (a) Mode profile of a rib waveguide (TE polarization) with $\mathrm{W}=1.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}=$ $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~h}=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; (b) Mode profile of a photonic wire waveguide (TE polarization) with $\mathrm{W}=0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}=0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; (c) Comparison of 1 D mode profiles of rib waveguide (TE polarization) obtained using full vectorial mode solver and Gaussian fit for $\mathrm{W}=1.6 \mu m, \mathrm{H}=2 \mu m, \mathrm{~h}=1 \mu m$; (d) Comparison of 1D mode profiles of photonic wire waveguide (TE polarization) obtained using full vectorial mode solver and Gaussian fit for $\mathrm{W}=0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{H}=0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

FPR region is highly fabrication tolerant because of its larger dimension. However, a minute fabrication induced non-uniformity in the arrayed waveguides can degrade the device performance. The mode profiles (TE polarization) of single-mode waveguides (input, output and arrayed waveguides) and their corresponding effective indices are calculated using full vectorial mode solver for two different single-mode waveguide cross-sections: Fig. 3.2(a) is for $2-\mu m$-SOI ( $\mathrm{W}=1.6 \mu m$, slab height $\mathrm{h}=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and Fig. 3.2(b) for 220-nm-SOI waveguides ( $\mathrm{W}=500 \mathrm{~nm}$, slab height $\mathrm{h}=0$ ), respectively. The computed mode profile has been used to generate 1D Gaussian field distributions with a beam waist $W_{x}$ as shown in Figs. 3.2(c) and 3.2(d) for both types of waveguides. The Gaussian field is allowed to propagate freely in the input FPR and subsequently used to extract field strength and phase information for the inputs of all arrayed waveguides. Similarly, guided mode profiles and phases accumulated individually by $N$ arrayed waveguides can be modeled into $N$ guassian field distributions, which are then assumed to propagate in the output FPR. The superposition of these Gaussian beams are then used for taking overlap with the actual mode profiles of individual output waveguides.

The Gaussian mode profile used in the AWG analysis has been derived from the Maxwell's equations.

The Helmholtz's wave equation for the electric field can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta^{2}+k^{2}\right) E(x, y, z)=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})$ is the complex field amplitude of the vector electric field. Assuming the propagation direction to be z , we can write,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x, y, z)=\Psi(x, y, z) e^{-i k z} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi(x, y, z)$ represents its variation in the transverse direction and propagation direction. Taking second order space derivative of eqn. 3.2 , we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2} E=\left(\Delta^{2} \Psi\right) e^{-i k z}-2 i k(\Delta \Psi) \cdot e^{-i k z} a_{z}-k^{2} \Psi e^{-i k z} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

substituting eqn. 3.2 into eqn. 3.3 and using eqn. 3.1 we get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta^{2}+k^{2}\right) E(x, y, z)=\left(\Delta^{2} \Psi-2 i k \frac{\delta \psi}{\delta z}\right) e^{-i k z}=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For further analysis, we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\delta^{2} \Psi}{\delta z^{2}}\right| \ll 2 k\left|\frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta z}\right| \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that the variation of field in the propagation direction is slow on the scale of $\lambda$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\delta^{2} \Psi}{\delta z^{2}}\right| \ll\left|\frac{\delta^{2} \Psi}{\delta x^{2}}\right|,\left|\frac{\delta^{2} \Psi}{\delta y^{2}}\right| \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that the variation of field in the propagation direction is slow compared to the variations in the transverse direction. Therefore, the Helmholtz equation can be written as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta^{2} \Psi}{\delta x^{2}}+\frac{\delta^{2} \Psi}{\delta y^{2}}-2 i k \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta z}=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is known as the paraxial wave equation. Assuming the solution to be cylindrically symmetric, we solve the eqn. 3.7 in cylindrical coordinates. Converting eqn. 3.7 into cylindrical coordinates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\delta}{\delta r}\left(r \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta r}\right)-2 i k \frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta z}=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that the solution to the paraxial wave equation is of the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(r, z)=\Psi_{0} e^{-i\left[b(z)+\frac{k r^{2}}{2 q(z)}\right]} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, the subscript 0 indicates the fundamental Gaussian mode and $b(z)$ and $q(z)$ are two arbitrary z dependent parameters of the paraxial wave equation. Plugging this solution into the paraxial wave equation,

$$
\begin{gather*}
-2 i k \frac{\delta \Psi_{0}}{\delta z}=\left(-2 k \frac{d b(z)}{d z}+i \frac{k^{2} r^{2}}{q^{2}(z)} \frac{d q(z)}{d z}\right) \Psi_{0}  \tag{3.10}\\
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\delta}{\delta r}\left(r \frac{\delta \Psi_{0}}{\delta r}\right)=\left(-\frac{k^{2} r^{2}}{q^{2}(z)}-i \frac{2 k}{q(z)}\right) \Psi_{0} \tag{3.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting eqn. 3.10 and 3.11 into eqn. 3.8, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k^{2}}{q^{2}(z)}\left[\frac{d q(z)}{d z}-1\right] r^{2}-2 k\left[\frac{\int b(z)}{d z}+\frac{i}{q(z)}\right]=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating coefficient of $r^{2}$ and constant term to zero we get,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d q(z)}{d z}=1  \tag{3.13}\\
\frac{d b(z)}{d z}=\frac{-i}{q(z)} \tag{3.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

The solution to the eqn. 3.13 is $q(z)=q_{0}+z$, where $q_{0}$ is the value of $q(z)$ at $z=0$. But, if $q(z)$ is real, we will be having zero variation in the amplitude of Gaussian beam in the r direction. $\mathrm{So}, \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{z})$ has to be complex ( z is always real). But any real value of $q_{0}$ corresponds to only spatial shift in the z axis. So, $q_{0}$ can very well be considered as an imaginary number. So, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
q(z)=z+i z_{R}  \tag{3.15}\\
\frac{1}{q(z)}=\frac{1}{z+i z_{R}}=\frac{z}{z^{2}+z_{R}^{2}}-i \frac{z_{R}}{z^{2}+z_{R}^{2}} \tag{3.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now solving eqn. 3.13, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{0}=e^{\left(-\frac{k z_{R} r^{2}}{2\left(z^{2}+\left(z_{R}\right)^{2}\right)}\right)} e^{\left(-i \frac{k z r^{2}}{2\left(z^{2}+\left(z_{R}\right)^{2}\right)}\right)} e^{-i b(z)} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, solving for $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{z})$ from eqn. 3.14, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d b(z)}{d z}=\frac{-i}{q(z)}=\frac{-i}{z+z_{R}} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating both sides of eqn. 3.18 from 0 to z , we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
i b(z)=\ln \left(1-i \frac{z}{z_{R}}\right) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i b(z)}=\frac{1}{1-i \frac{z}{z_{R}}} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Representing this complex number in magnitude phase form, we get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{(-i b(z))}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{z}{z_{R}}\right)^{2}}} e^{-\frac{k_{R} r^{2}}{2\left(z^{2}+z_{R}^{2}\right)}} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting eqn. 3.21 in 3.17 we can find the fundamental mode Gaussian beam as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x, y, z)=E_{0} \frac{w_{0}}{w(z)} e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{w^{2}(z)}} e^{-i \frac{k r^{2}}{2 R(z)}} e^{-i(k(z)-\Phi(z))} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $w_{0}$ is called the beam waist (minimum spot size)

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{2 z_{R}}{k}} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{z})$ is the spot size of the beam at any z

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(z)=w_{0} \sqrt{1+\left(\frac{z}{z_{R}}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R(z)$ is the radius of curvature of the beam,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(z)=z\left(1+\left(\frac{z}{z_{R}}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and finally the Guoy phase shift

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(z)=\tan ^{-1} \frac{z}{z_{R}} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we can use this Gaussian beam instead of the fundamental mode profile to model the arrayed waveguide grating. Use of Gaussian beam approximation of fundamental mode profiles of arrayed waveguides can simplify the transfer function of AWG and reduce the computational budget.

### 3.1.1 Gaussian beam approximation at input FPR

We have modeled the input waveguide mode-profile (see Fig. 3.3) such that the field spread at any point along the propagation direction (z) in input FPR can be accurately estimated and is given by:


Figure 3.3: Gaussian spreading scheme used for modeling the input FPR $W_{x}$ is the (1/e) width of the Gaussian approximated guided mode in the r direction, $W_{w}$ is the width of the waveguide, $W_{f}$ is the width of FPR region and $z_{L}$ is the distance at which the ( $1 / \mathrm{e}$ ) width becomes $\sqrt{2} W_{x 0}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(r, z)=A(z) \exp \left[-\frac{\beta_{s} z_{L} r^{2}}{2\left(z^{2}+z_{L}^{2}\right)}\right] \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(z)=\frac{F_{0}}{\sqrt{1+\left(\alpha z / z_{L}\right)^{2}}} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3.4: (a) Calculated correction factor ( $\alpha$ ) and $W_{y}$ variations (calculated from Lumerical mode Solver) as a function of tapered waveguide width W (interconnecting input waveguide and input FPR) for $2-\mu m$-SOI AWGs; (b) Calculated correction factor $(\alpha)$ and $W_{y}$ variations as a function of tapered waveguide width W (interconnecting input waveguide and input FPR) for 220-nm-SOI AWGs.
here, $z$ and $r$ are the distance along the propagation direction and transverse direction respectively, $F_{0}$ is the peak amplitude at $z=0, \beta_{s}$ is the propagation constant of the FPR, $z_{L}$ is the distance along the propagation direction when width of Gaussian beam becomes $\sqrt{2}$ times the initial width $\left(W_{x 0}\right)$ and $\alpha$ is the correction factor which accounts for the modal mismatch at the junction between input waveguide and the input FPR. By including $\alpha$, the effect of $W_{y}$ variation (calculated from Lumerical Mode Solver) of the mode between waveguide and FPR region for different waveguide widths can be
incorporated and $\alpha$ is found to be following the same nature as that of $W_{y}$ for different waveguide widths (Figs. 3.4(a) and 3.4(b)).


Figure 3.5: (a) Comparison of electric field amplitude along the propagation direction with and without the correction factor for $2-\mu m$-SOI; (b) Comparison of electric field amplitude along the propagation direction with and without the correction factor for $220-\mathrm{nm}$-SOI.

Figs. 3.5(a) and 3.5(b) shows the comparison of Gaussian spread with that of full vectorial mode solver in the FPR region with and without $\alpha$. By including $\alpha$ (as in eqn. 3.27) we can model the Gaussian spread similar to that of numerical calculations. The correction factor $(\alpha)$ is found to be close to 0.8 for a tapered waveguide width of $\sim 2-3 \mu m$ in $2-\mu m$-SOI, since the $W_{y}$ and the peak position of the waveguide mode were found to be nearly matching with that of the free propagating mode in the FPR region. We have carried out the same analysis of $\alpha$ for photonic wire waveguides (220-nm-SOI) also and irrespective of changing waveguide widths (tapered to $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), $W_{y}$ and $\alpha$ were found to be nearly constant ( $W_{y} \sim 310 \mathrm{~nm}, \alpha \sim 0.5$ ). Using eqn. (3.27), we calculated the field distribution at the end of input FPR by substituting $z=f$ (focal length of input FPR).

The overlap integral $\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ between this field with each of the arrayed waveguide mode profiles is used to obtain coupled power into the arrayed waveguides. The overlap integral between expanded Gaussian beam with the field with each of the arrayed waveguide mode profiles is calculated using:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{i}=\frac{\left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(r) E\left(r-r_{i}\right) d r\right]^{2}}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F^{2}(r) d r \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} E^{2}\left(r-r_{i}\right) d r} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E\left(r-r_{i}\right)$ is the mode profile of the arrayed waveguide and $r_{i}$ is the position of $i^{t h}$ arrayed waveguide.

### 3.1.2 Gaussian beam superposition at output FPR

The output FPR has been modeled again using Gaussian approximation and the wavelength (and phase) dependent interference pattern (Fig. 3.6) can be obtained at the focal plane of output FPR:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi\left(r^{\prime}, \lambda\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{i} F_{i}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \exp \left[j\left(\Phi_{1 i}\right)+\left(\Phi_{2 i}\right)\right] \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Phi_{1 i}=\beta_{s}\left(2 f+(N+1-2 i) d r^{\prime} / 2 f\right) \\
\Phi_{2 i}=\beta_{i}\left(L_{0}+(i-1) \Delta L\right) \tag{3.32}
\end{array}
$$

here $r^{\prime}$ is any point on the focal plane of $\operatorname{FPR}, \Psi\left(r^{\prime}, \lambda\right)$ is the field amplitude at $r^{\prime}, N$ is the number of arrayed waveguides, $A_{i}$ is the field amplitude of $i^{t h}$ arrayed waveguide, $F_{i}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$ is the field amplitude at $r^{\prime}$ due to the $i^{\text {th }}$ arrayed waveguide, $\beta_{s}$ and $\beta_{i}$ are the propagation constants of FPR and $i^{t h}$ arrayed waveguide respectively, $L_{0}$ is the length of the smallest arrayed waveguide and $\Delta L$ is the incremental length of the arrayed waveguide. The possible non-uniformities of arrayed waveguides were included by suitable variation of propagation constants $\beta_{i}: \beta_{i}=2 \pi\left(n_{\text {eff }}+p_{i} \Delta n_{\text {eff }}\right) / \lambda$, where $n_{e f f}$ is the refractive index of designed waveguide, $p_{i} \in[-1,1]$, and $\Delta n_{\text {eff }}$ is the maximum variation in the effective index from the designed value. Here, the grating circle and Rowland circle curvatures (see Fig. 3.1) are assumed to be straight lines since the


Figure 3.6: Spreading scheme and wavelength dependent interference of Gaussian beams (emerging from the waveguide array) at the focal plane of output FPR.
focal length of FPR is of the order of $100 \mu m$. Now that we have the field profile along the focal plane, an overlap integral (eqn. 3.29) with the output waveguide mode gives us the output power for a particular wavelength.

Fig. 3.7(a) show the comparison of Gaussian approximation method with RSOFT BeamPROP simulation for 8 channel, 100 GHz AWG in 2- $\mu \mathrm{m}$-SOI with no phase error (assuming correction factor $\alpha=0.59$ ). Fig. 3.7(b) shows the comparison of Gaussian approximation method with experimental results of a 7 channel 200 GHz AWG in 220-nm-SOI [29] (assuming correction factor $\alpha=0.5$ ). In our model, the measured waveguide width variation of $\pm 4 \mathrm{~nm}$ of the fabricated devices has been incorporated by considering a probabilistic distribution among arrayed waveguides as described earlier. We have assumed that the phase-error distribution in each of the waveguides has been taken care by the probabilistic width variation used in the matlab code (see Appendix B). The model however matches well with the experimental results in terms of crosstalk and output spectral distributions.

### 3.2 Phase Error Analysis

We analyzed the fabrication tolerance of both the AWGs mentioned above using our method. Effective index variation with width ( $d n_{\text {eff }} / d W$ ) was found to be $2.36 \times 10^{-5}$ $\left(4.38 \times 10^{-5}\right) n m^{-1}$ for $2-\mu m$ - (220-nm-) SOI waveguides, using which the effect of


Figure 3.7: (a) Comparison of output spectrum of 8 channel, 100 GHz AWG in 2- $\mu \mathrm{m}$ SOI computed by RSoft BeamPROP and Gaussian approximation using $\alpha=$ 0.59 ; (b) Comparison of experimental results of 7-channel AWG in 220$n m$-SOI with Gaussian approximation using $\alpha=0.5$.
phase error has been studied. The possible non-uniformities of arrayed waveguides are included by suitable variation of propagation constants $\beta_{i}: \beta_{i}=2 \pi\left(n_{\text {eff }}+p_{i} \Delta n_{\text {eff }}\right) / \lambda$, where $n_{\text {eff }}$ is the refractive index of designed waveguide, $p_{i} \in[-1,1]$, and $\Delta n_{\text {eff }}$ is the maximum variation in the effective index from the designed value which is calculated from $d n_{\text {eff }} / d W$ and the maximum width variation obtained (see Fig. 3.8). Here the width has been varied randomly and after analysing the output spectrum using Gaussian beam approximation the crosstalk has been calculated. In order to have a crosstalk level $<-10 \mathrm{~dB}$, it is required to control the width variation within $\pm 13 \mathrm{~nm}( \pm 2 \mathrm{~nm})$ for AWG on 2- $\mu m$ - (220-nm-) SOI. Figs. 3.9(a) and Fig. 3.9(b) illustrate the output spectrum of AWG without and with phase error (corresponding to a width variation of 25 $n m$ ). The phase error information can be used for correcting the device characteristics by post-fabrication trimming process [42, 43]


Figure 3.8: Crosstalk degradation as a function of waveguide width variation for AWG on $2-\mu m$-SOI and $220-n m-$-SOI (TE polarization).

For the given $2-\mu m$-SOI AWG, BeamPROP simulation takes nearly 35 minutes to complete the simulation (Intel(R) core(TM)i7-2600 CPU @ 3.40 GH processor). AWGs on 220-nm-SOI waveguides which are having high modal confinement, can not be simulated using BeamPROP. For the 220-nm-SOI AWG, 3D FDTD simulation has


Figure 3.9: (a) Spectrum of AWG on 2- $\mu m$-SOI with no variation in waveguide width;
(b) Spectrum of AWG on $2-\mu m$-SOI with probabilistic waveguide width variations of 25 nm .
been carried out, which takes nearly 75 hours to finish with the same processor and demands a huge computational memory. A matlab code for the Gaussian approximation method is found to be consuming less than one minute irrespective of the SOI platform used. However, the coupling information and thereby phase changes due to close proximity regions of arrayed waveguide are neglected in this method.

Similar analysis can be carried out for TM polarization also. Even though the polarization dependency in the FPR region can be neglected, the arrayed waveguides are polarization dependent. So for TM, there will be a lateral shift in the spectrum depending the birefringence $\left(n_{\text {eff }}^{T E}-n_{\text {eff }}^{T M}\right)$ of the arrayed waveguide geometry. Since the mode profile and $W_{y}$ of TM mode will be different compared to the TE mode, the correction factor $(\alpha)$ also will be polarization dependent. It is found that $\alpha$ for the $2-\mu m$-SOI AWG, are polarization independent, since the waveguide geometry is having very low birefringence $\left(8.44 \times 10^{-4}\right)$. But for 220-nm-SOI AWGs, it is found that $\alpha$ is $0.61(0.8$ $\mu m<\mathrm{W}<2 \mu m$ ) for TM polarization, since the waveguide geometry used are highly polarization birefringent (0.813).

### 3.3 Summary

A Gaussian beam approximation of guided mode profiles have been developed for analysing the fabrication tolerance of AWG. The method is found to be faster compared to 3D-FDTD and nearly accurate. The method has been evaluated against experimental results and simulated results of R-SOFT BeamPROP and the output spectrum is found to be exactly matching. Using the Gaussian beam approximation method it has been found that in order to have a crosstalk level $<-10 \mathrm{~dB}$, it is required to control the width variation within $\pm 13 \mathrm{~nm}( \pm 2 \mathrm{~nm})$ for AWG on 2- $\mu \mathrm{m}$ - (220-nm-) SOI.

## CHAPTER 4

## Fabrication with i-line Contact Lithography

The phase error analysis using Gaussian beam approximation of guided mode profiles is a faster and accurate method for analyzing the spectrum of AWG. Different lithographic techniques have been adopted for fabrication of AWG. E-beam lithography and deep UV lithography (193-nm) are most commonly used method for fabricating AWGs, since they provide a better structural uniformity and for obtaining ultra small feature size. However, these methods demand huge financial investment. I-line lithography can not be used for very small feature size due to the diffraction limitations but the method is very cost effective. However, feasibility of using i-line lithography in fabrication of AWGs on $2-\mu m$-SOI has not been studied extensively till now. This chapter explains the design and fabrication of optical mask, methodology that can be adopted to fabricate AWG on $2-\mu m$-SOI and waveguide non-uniformity and phase error introduced by i-line lithography fabrication technique. As seen from scheme of AWG structure, some part of the device consist of waveguides which are very close to each other and some other parts which consist of waveguides which are sufficiently far apart. So the fabrication process is challenging and needs to be optimized very carefully. Several experiments had to be done to get an optimized recipe. For optimization, Silicon wafer (p type, $<100>$ ) has been used which is less costly compared to the SOI wafer.

### 4.1 Photomask Design and Fabrication

The mask layout was created using R-Soft ${ }^{T M}$ [44] CAD tool. The layout consist of two arrayed waveguide structures, five bend waveguides and straight waveguides. In order to account for the fabrication tolerance involved in the mask and device fabrication, AWG structure with different differential length $(\Delta L)$ is needed. Hence we have kept two similar set of structures with different $\Delta L( \pm 5 \mu m)$ values. The designed mask is shown in Fig. 4.1. The mask consists of two AWGs which are designed using optimized
design parameters. Five numbers of straight and bend waveguide to analyze the loss budget also kept. In addition to this polishing marks were kept in perpendicular to the waveguides which were used for convenience. The sample edges were polished parallel to these markers as it ensures polished edges to be perpendicular to the waveguides.


Figure 4.1: Mask layout of designed AWG. The straight and bend waveguides are kept for reference.

This pattern created in R-Soft is converted to Graphic Database System 2 (GDS 2) format. This pattern was subsequently transferred to the photoresist coating on the mask plate using Heilderberg Instruments $\mathrm{GmbH}^{T M}$ DWL 66 mask writer (He-Cd laser of $\lambda=442 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Specification of the Japan Laser Corporation mask plate used is given in Table 4.1.

After transferring the pattern on to the photoresist, it was developed using 0.1 M NaOH ( 5 pellets dissolved in 200 ml DI water) solution. Subsequently, the Chromium etching was done using the Chrome etchant. A mixture of 8 g Ammonium Ceric Nitrate dissolved in 30 ml DI water and 3 ml Glacial Acetic Acid dissolved in 35 ml of DI water were mixed to form the stock solution. Diluting this stock solution in DI water (2:1 ratio) were used as chrome etchant. The remaining PPR was removed by dipping

Table 4.1: Specifications of the mask plate

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Transparent layer | Fused Silica |
| Absorbing Layer | Chromium |
| Photoresist | AZP-1350 |

the mask plate in Piranha solution $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}:: 3: 1\right)$ for 5 minutes.
The mask plate after fabrication was carefully analysed in SEM. The critical regions such as $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ opening at the input/output FPR - arrayed waveguide junction and the straight waveguides were proper. But the bend region was found to be non-uniform in nature. Finite spot size of mask writer $(\lambda \sim 440 \mathrm{~nm})$ leads to wavy nature of bend waveguides, especially since mask writing happens across the pattern rather along the pattern. The SEM images of the mask plates are given in Fig. 4.2


Figure 4.2: SEM images of mask (a) straight waveguide region for which the waveguide width is found to be uniform; (b) Bend waveguide region for which the width is found to be varying.

### 4.2 Photolithography and Process Optimization

A standard cleaning procedure has been followed for cleaning the substrate. The substrate was first boiled in TCE (Tri-Chloro Ethylene) for 2-3 minutes to remove all organic impurities. The sample was boiled in acetone to remove the TCE residues and subsequently cleaned in DI water to remove the residues of acetone. Then the sample has been boiled in nitric acid $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right)$. Since the nitric acid is an oxidising agent, it forms a thin layer of silicon dioxide above the Silicon surface. This is followed by a diluted HF (HF : DI water :: $1: 10$ ) dip which removes the silicon dioxide on top of the surface and exposes the fresh Silicon layer. The sample has been rinsed thoroughly. Before spin coating, sample was baked for 5 minutes at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to remove the moisture and allowed to cool down. The cleaning procedure was followed by the spin coating of positive Microposit ${ }^{T M}$ photoresist S 1813 G 2 . The spin coating parameters are given in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2: Spin coating parameters of S1813 G2

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Speed | 5000 rpm |
| Accelaration | $600 \mathrm{rpm} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Time | 45 sec |

The thickness of spin coated photoresist has been measured using confocal microscope and the thickness was found to be around 800 nm and found to be uniform in nature except at the edges which does not affect the fabrication of the device. The prebaking was done at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 28 minutes and thickness got reduced to 700 nm . This was to harden the PPR and to avoid sticking of the PPR when it comes in contact with the optical mask. MA6/BA6 mask aligner is used to align the sample with the AWG optical mask and exposed it with i-line UV (Wavelength : 365 nm , Intensity : $13 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ). A post exposure bake has been carried out on the exposed sample at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $5 \mathrm{~min}-$ utes to reduce the concentration gradient created during the exposure and to slow down the overall developing rate to overcome the difficulty of developing a sample where we
have a dense array of waveguides.

Table 4.3: Optimized etching recipe

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Gas flow rate | $\mathrm{SF}_{6}: \mathrm{Ar}:: 20 \mathrm{sccm}: 20$ |
|  | sccm |
| Temperature | $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Pressure | 200 mTorr |
| DC bias | 33 V |
| RF power | 150 W |
| Etch rate | $0.33 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$ |

The samples were developed using 0.1 M NaOH solution. Before RIE, the sample has been postbaked at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes to harden the PPR. The time of prebake/postbake, spin coating parameters and developing/exposing time have been optimized after several trial and error. The obtained PPR pattern has been subsequently transferred to the silicon sample by Reactive Ion Etching (RIE). $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ : Ar recipe is an optimized recipe which gives very low sidewall roughness ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Various devices including spot size converters [45], interleavers [46], high efficient DBR structures [47] etc., have been fabricated and successfully demonstrated using this recipe in our lab. The RIE recipe used has been shown in Table 4.3. The entire procedure followed for the fabrication of AWG device is explained in Fig. 4.3.

The confocal microscopic image of the fabricated sample is shown in Fig. 4.4. The $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ separation between arrayed waveguides near FPR region were underdeveloped. At the same time the arrayed waveguides in the middele region of AWG which are sufficiently far apart were washed off. It is confirmed that developer solution takes more time to develop the exposed PPR in the $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ region.

So, it is understood that the developing had to be slowed down, so that the $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ separation between arrayed waveguides near input/output FPR gets developed properly. Also it is important to make sure that the middle region where arrayed waveguides are well apart does not get overdeveloped. In order to achieve this, we increased the post exposure baking time to 10 minutes. This will slow down the entire developing process.


Figure 4.3: Fabrication process flow.


Figure 4.4: Confocal microscopic image of arrayed waveguides near input FPR and output waveguides near output FPR.

It was observed that the opening of $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ was not proper and arrayed waveguides in the middle region were getting overdeveloped. But the waveguides were of wavy nature and the developing time was very high. The confocal microscopic image (resolution : $120 \mathrm{~nm})$ of the resulting sample is shown in Fig. 4.5.


Figure 4.5: Confocal microscopic image of arrayed waveguides near input FPR and output waveguides near output FPR.

The concentration gradient of the developing solution will have a huge impact on the photolithography procedure. So, instead of using prepared NaOH solution, a standard developer should provide better results. We followed the same procedure explained above, by using standard developer MF 321 instead of NaOH solution. The wavy nature of the waveguides which were observed earlier were still present, but the $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ openings were better compared to earlier structure. The confocal microscopic image of the developed samples are given in Fig. 4.6.


Figure 4.6: Confocal microscopic image of arrayed waveguides near input FPR and output waveguides near output FPR.

We were able to conclude that the conventional lithographic procedures can not be
directly adopted to get a satisfactory structure of AWG. Descum [48] is a method used to remove the photoresist residues from the sample after fabrication. This approach will be of great help in optimizing the recipe to get the required dimension. The sample was developed till the waveguides in the middle region was proper. It is obvious that the $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ separation will be underdeveloped. At this point if the thickness of the PPR is reduced by Descum process (reduced by $\sim 150 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), it would lead to the proper opening of $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ separation without overdeveloping the arrayed waveguides in the middle region. The descum recipe used, is shown in Table 4.4.

Table 4.4: Optimized descum recipe

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Gas flow rate | $\mathrm{O}_{2}: 50 \mathrm{sccm}$ |
| Temperature | $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Pressure | 100 mTorr |
| ICP Power | 150 W |
| RF power | 150 W |
| Etch rate | $0.18 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| Time | 50 seconds |



Figure 4.7: Confocal microscopic image of arrayed waveguides near input FPR before and after the descum.

The confocal microscopic image of the perfect $1 \mu m$ opening near input FPR before and after the descum is shown in Fig. 4.7. This optimized recipe has been used for fabricating the designed AWG on a SOI (Device layer thickness : $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Resistivity : $5000 \Omega / c m$, Crystal orientation : <100>, Make : Ultrasil inc. USA) sample. The fabricated AWG found to have a uniform separation of $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ separation (verified using SEM imaging) and properly developed arrayed and input/output waveguides. The
confocal microscopic images of the PPR pattern of AWG fabricated on SOI is given in Fig. 4.8 and the sample after RIE is shown in Fig. 4.9.


Figure 4.8: Confocal microscopic images of PPR patterns of fabricated AWG on SOI.


Figure 4.9: Confocal microscopic images of fabricated AWG on SOI after RIE.

The width of the arrayed waveguides are very crucial in AWG design on SOI platform. In order to analyze the phase error introduced by the arrayed waveguides, width of arrayed waveguides were measured using SEM imaging technique. There was an average width variation of $\pm 100 \mathrm{~nm}$. The SEM images of three different arrayed waveguides of the same AWG is shown Fig. 4.10. We observed a lengthwise non-uniformity of around $\pm 40 \mathrm{~nm}$ for all the arrayed waveguides (as shown in Fig. 3.27).

Focusing high energy electron beam on SOI creates charging problem, because of the oxide layer beneath the device layer. So we have coated a thin layer of gold (10 $n m$ ) to discharge the accumulated charges. The white particles in the SEM images are nothing but the gold particles coated on the SOI sample.




Figure 4.10: SEM images of three arrayed waveguides showing the width variation in arrayed waveguides.


Figure 4.11: SEM images of a sample arrayed waveguide showing the lengthwise width variation.

Similarly we have analyzed the waveguide widths of all the forty arrayed waveguides and plotted in Fig. 4.12. The red line in the Fig. 4.12 shows the waveguide widths of the designed AWG and the black line shows the waveguide widths of the fabricated device. The waveguide width has been found to be varying between $1.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Hence, we observed a maximum width variation of $\pm 100 \mathrm{~nm}$ in the arrayed waveguides.

For the center wavelength to have constructive interference at the middle of the output focal plane, the phase front of the waves through all the arrayed waveguides should be an integral multiple of $360^{\circ}$. Because of the distortion in the waveguide width, the phase front of the wave will also be distorted. The possible non-uniformities of arrayed waveguides are included by suitable variation of propagation constants $\beta_{i}$ : $\beta_{i}=2 \pi\left(n_{\text {eff }}+p_{i} \Delta n_{\text {eff }}\right) / \lambda$, where $n_{\text {eff }}$ is the refractive index of designed waveguide, $p_{i} \in[-1,1]$, and $\Delta n_{\text {eff }}$ is the maximum variation in the effective index from the designed


Figure 4.12: Waveguide width plotted against the arrayed waveguide number.


Figure 4.13: Waveguide width plotted against the arrayed waveguide number.
value which is calculated from $d n_{e f f} / d W$ and the maximum width variation obtained (see Fig. 3.8). For the center wavelength, the phase front expected if all the arrayed waveguides are of same waveguide width, is shown in red color and the phase front of fabricated device with width variation is shown using black line in Fig. 4.13

### 4.3 Summary

From the Fig. 4.13 and Fig. 3.8 it can be concluded that, the phase front distortion produced by this width variation in the arrayed waveguides is too high to produce any interference pattern at the output. Even though the fabrication processes are carefully optimized to get a near perfect structure, it is found to be very difficult to keep the arrayed waveguide width constant throughout the footprint of the device. Even a 25 $n m$ width variation is found to create a huge distortion in the phase front of the device. Hence the width variation of $\pm 100 \mathrm{~nm}$ introduced due to i-line lithography and subsequently by the etching process is too high to get a satisfactory performance. It is concluded that i-line lithography is not the optimum technique for fabricating such a fabrication sensitive device like AWG and feasibility of improving the fabrication techniques using i-line lithography, deep UV lithography (Wavelength: 193 nm ) and e-beam lithography for AWG can be explored further.

## CHAPTER 5

## Conclusions

### 5.1 Summary

In this work, study of the fabrication tolerance of AWGs has been done. We proposed a semi-analytical method to analyze the AWG using Gaussian beam approximation of guided mode profiles which will be faster compared to the commercial FDTD design tools and nearly accurate. This method has been used to analyze fabrication tolerance of AWGs on different device layer thickness. Fabrication tolerance of i-line lithography also has been studied after fabricating AWG with i-line lithography.

Chapter 2 explains the design of AWGs on $2-\mu m$-SOI and $220-n m$-SOI. Design parameters are carefully chosen to minimize the losses and to make the structure as compact as possible. Restriction in defining structures using i-line lithography techniques has also been considered while designing the device. The effect of various parameters such as waveguide dimensions, separation between arrayed waveguides and output waveguides, number of arrayed waveguides and bend radius, on AWG characteristics has been studied. Numerical methods are not ideal for simulating AWG and analysing fabrication induced phase errors. They demand huge computational budget due to the large footprint and small features of the device. Chapter 3 explains modeling of AWGs on SOI using Gaussian beam approximation of guided mode profiles. A semi-analytical model has been developed in which Gaussian beam approximation is used for guided mode profiles to analyze the output spectrum of AWGs. The AWG output spectrum simulated using our method has been compared with the existing numerical methods and found to be reasonably accurate even for high refractive index contrast photonic wire waveguide based compact AWGs in SOI platform. It has been also shown that this model can be used to extract phase errors (due to waveguide non-uniformities) from experimental results. In order to get a crosstalk $<-10 \mathrm{~dB}$, the tolerable width variation
in the arrayed waveguides has been found to be $\pm 13 \mathrm{~nm}( \pm 2 \mathrm{~nm})$ for AWG fabricated on $2-\mu m-\mathrm{SOI}$ (220-nm-SOI).

Chapter 4 explains the fabrication of AWG on $2-\mu m$-SOI using i-line lithography. AWG designed on $2-\mu m$-SOI has been successfully fabricated by introducing Descum process step with the i-line lithographic technique. The error estimation of the fabricated structure has been done using the Gaussian approximation model developed in chapter 3. It has been found that a width variation of around $\pm 100 \mathrm{~nm}$ has been observed which is above the tolerable limit. A necessary post-fabrication trimming of waveguides can be employed to rectify the phase errors.

### 5.2 Future Scope of work

AWGs were found to be a highly fabrication sensitive device. The phase errors introduced due to fabrication imperfection is a major concern. Some suggestions for improving the device performance are listed below.

1) More sophisticated and improved lithographic techniques can be used to fabricate AWG on $2-\mu m$-SOI. E-beam lithography can be optimized to fabricate AWG on 220-nm-SOI, which can be used to fabricate very fine features and the phase error introduced by e-beam lithography has proven to be minimal.
2) The phase error analysis using Gaussian beam approximation has been done assuming random widths for arrayed waveguides. The lengthwise width variation has been neglected in all the simulations. The phase error introduced by AWG can be analyzed using Gaussian beam approximation method by obtaining the exact width variation of fabricated AWG, based on which the Gaussian approximation method can be further improved. Once an AWG is fabricated within the tolerable width variation, the AWG can be experimentally tested. The experimental results can be compared with Gaussian beam approximation method after including all the structural features of the fabricated device.

## Appendix A

## Rowland circle theorem - Proof

Consider the figure shown below. The arc PQ is part of a grating circle with center at C and radius R . Let us assume that we have a source at D . Let any two rays coming from D is reflecting from A and $B_{1}$ and makes an image at E . The path length difference between these two rays should be an integer multiple of wavelength $(\lambda)$ to have a constructive interference at E . Let us assume that the distance between two reflecting points be $d$ and its value is much less than the radius $R$.


Figure 5.1: Rowland circle geometry proof, D is the source and E is the point where image is assumed to be formed.
divisors From figure, we can write the condition for interference at E using grating equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \sin (\alpha)+d \sin (\beta)=m \lambda \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating eqn. 5.1 with respect to $\alpha$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos (\alpha) \delta \alpha+\cos (\beta) \delta \beta=0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $\triangle A X C$ and $\triangle B_{1} X D$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\angle A X C=\angle B_{1} X D \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\triangle A X C$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\angle A X C=180^{\circ}-\angle X C A-\angle C A X \\
\angle A X C=180^{\circ}-\delta \gamma-\alpha \tag{5.5}
\end{array}
$$

Similarly from $\triangle B_{1} X D$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\angle B_{1} X D=180^{\circ}-\angle X D B_{1}-\angle D B_{1} X \\
\angle B_{1} X D=180^{\circ}-\delta \sigma-(\alpha+\delta \alpha) \tag{5.7}
\end{array}
$$

From eqns. 5.3, 5.5 and 5.7, we can conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \alpha=\delta \gamma-\delta \sigma \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly from $\triangle A X_{1} C$ and $\triangle B_{1} X_{1} E$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \beta=\delta \gamma-\delta \rho \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from Fig. 5.1, we can write

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\delta \gamma=\frac{A B_{1}}{R} \\
\delta \sigma=\frac{A B_{2}}{r}=\frac{A B_{1} \cos (\alpha)}{r} \\
\delta \rho=\frac{A B_{3}}{r_{1}}=\frac{A B_{1} \cos (\beta)}{r_{1}} \tag{5.12}
\end{array}
$$

Substituting eqns. 5.10, 5.11 and 5.12 in eqn. 5.8 and 5.9 , we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \alpha=\frac{A B_{1}}{R}-\frac{A B_{1} \cos (\alpha)}{r}  \tag{5.13}\\
& \delta \beta=\frac{A B_{1}}{R}-\frac{A B_{1} \cos (\beta)}{r_{1}} \tag{5.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting eqns. 5.13 and 5.14 in eqn. 5.2, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos (\alpha)\left[\frac{A B_{1}}{R}-\frac{A B_{1} \cos (\alpha)}{r}\right]+\cos (\beta)\left[\frac{A B_{1}}{R}-\frac{A B_{1} \cos (\beta)}{r_{1}}\right]=0 \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only solution to the eqn. 5.15 is
and

$$
\begin{align*}
r & =R \cos (\alpha)  \tag{5.16}\\
r_{1} & =R \cos (\beta) \tag{5.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Eqns. 5.16 and 5.17 confirms that $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ and E are lying on the circumference of the circle with diameter R called the Rowland circle. Hence the proof.

## Appendix B

## Matlab code used for simulating AWG transfer function using Gaussian beam approximation

```
tic;
clc;
clear all;
close all;
W=1.6e-6; %% Rib width of waveguide
RH=2e-6; %% Rib height of waveguide
sh=1e-6; %% slab height of waveguide
n1=3.4777; %% refractive index of Silicon
n2=1.45; %% Refractive index of BOX
n3=1; %% refractive index of air
lambda=1549.5e-9; %% centre wavelength
dlam=0.5e-9;
ewit=1.8e-6; %% (1/e) Width of input taper mode
wit=2e-6;
ewa=1.8e-6;
wa=2e-6; %% Width of array taper
ewot=1.8e-6; %% (1/e) Width of output taper mode
wot=2e-6;
da=1e-6;
dit=1e-6; %% Separation between input waveguide
dot=1e-6; %% Separation between output waveguide
warray=wa+da; %% Center-center separation of arrayed waveguide
winput=wit+dit; %% Center-center separation of input waveguide
woutput=wot+dot; %% Center-center separation of output waveguide
neffcl=zeros(1,3);
nfcl=zeros(1,3);
neffc=zeros(1,3);
nfc=zeros(1,3);
neff=zeros(1,3);
```

for $p=1: 3$;

```
ttacl=asin(n2/n1);
ttacu=asin(n3/n1);
a=ttacu;
ttai=a:0.0001:pi/2;
phil=2.*(atan(sqrt(((n1/n2)^2).*((sin(ttai)).^2)-1)./((n1/n2).*\operatorname{cos(ttai))));}
phiu=2.*(atan(sqrt(((n1/n3)^2).*((sin(ttai)).^2)-1)./((n1/n3).*cos(ttai))));
phi=phil+phiu;
phil=phil+phiu+(2*pi);
k=(2*pi) / (lambda);
h=RH;
b=2.*n1.*h.*k.*cos(ttai);
i=1;
while(phi(i)<b(i))
    theta=ttai(i);
    i=i+1;
end
neffc(p)=n1*sin(theta);
nfc(p)=neffc(p);
h=sh;
b}=2.*n1.*h.*k.*\operatorname{cos}(ttai)
i=1;
while(phi(i)<b(i))
    theta=ttai(i);
    i=i+1;
end
neffcl(p)=n1*sin(theta);
nfcl(p)=nefcl(p);
    %%%%%%% Effective index of cladding %%%%%%%%
ttacl=asin(neffcl(p)/neffc(p));
ttacu=asin(neffcl(p)/neffc(p));
a=ttacu;
ttai=a:0.0001:pi/2;
```

```
Ai=((nfcl(p)/nfc(p)).*cos(ttai));
phil=2.*(atan(sqrt(((nfc(p)/nfcl(p))^2).*((sin(ttai)).^2)-1)./Ai));
phiu=2.*(atan(sqrt(((nfc(p)/nfcl(p))^2).*((sin(ttai)).^2)-1)./Ai));
phi=phil+phiu;
k=(2*pi) / (lambda);
h=W;
b=2.*neffc(p).*h.*k.*cos(ttai);
i=1;
while(phi(i)<b(i))
    theta=ttai(i);
    i=i+1;
end
neff(p)=neffc(p) *sin(theta);
lambda=lambda+dlam;
end
```

                    \(\% \% \% \%\) Finding effective index of array taper \(\% \% \% \% \% \% \% \% \% \%\)
    lambda=1.55e-6;
$\operatorname{ng}=\operatorname{neff}(2)-((\operatorname{lambda*}(\operatorname{neff}(3)-\operatorname{neff}(1))) /(2 * \operatorname{llam}))$;
a=ttacu;
ttai=a:0.0001:pi/2;
Ai=((nfcl(2)/nfc(2)).*cos(ttai));
phil=2.*(atan(sqrt(((nfc(2)/nfcl(2))^2).*((sin(ttai)).^2)-1)./Ai));
phiu=2.*(atan(sqrt(((nfc(2)/nfcl(2))^2).*((sin(ttai)).^2)-1)./Ai));
phi=phil+phiu;
phil=phil+phiu+(2*pi);
k=(2*pi)/(lambda);
h=wa;
b=2.*neffc (2).*h.*k.*cos(ttai);
i=1;
while(phi(i) <b(i))
theta=ttai(i);
$i=i+1$;
end
neff0=neffc (2) *sin(theta);
nwa=neffo;

```
h=wit;
b=2.*neffc(2).*h.*k.*cos(ttai);
i=1;
while(phi(i)<b(i))
    theta=ttai(i);
    i=i+1;
end
neff0=neffc(2)*sin(theta);
nwit=neff0;
nwot=nwit;
    %%% THIS IS A PROGRAM TO ANALYZE AWG TRANSFER FUNCTIONS ANALYTICALLY
%%%%%%%%%%%
%%%%% CODING DONE BY SIDHARTH - IOLAB %%%%%%%%%%%%%
    %%% Effective index definition%%%
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
nsi=3.4777; & \%\% Silicon refractive index \\
ns=neffc (2); & \(\% \%\) Effective index of 2 micron slab \\
neffTM=neff (2); & \(\% \%\) TM polarisation effective index. \\
\(d \_w=22200 ;\) & \(\% \%\) dneff/dw for rcrw \\
\(d w=0 ;\) & \(\% \%\) maximum width variation \\
dneff=dw*d_w; & \(\% \%\) maximum effective index variation
\end{tabular}
    %%% Parameters of AWG %%%%
lambdac=1.55e-6;
dlambda=0.8e-9;
nchan=8;
FSR=nchan*dlambda;
Na=40;
Lo=2e-4;
lambdastart=(lambdac-((FSR+dlambda)/2));
lambdastop=(lambdac+((FSR+dlambda)/2));
```


## $\% \% \%$ Loop variables $\% \% \% \% \% \% \% \%$

```
count=20000;
count_plot=400;
count_lambda=65;
extra=(Na*warray)/count;
extrainput=wit/(count_plot);
extraoutput=2*wot/(count_plot);
tunelambda=(lambdastop-lambdastart)/(count_lambda-1);
```

$\% \%$ Defining arrays $\% \% \% \% \%$
$p=r a n d(1, N a)$;
neffi=zeros (1, Na);
$\operatorname{Beff}=\operatorname{zeros}(1, N a) ;$
Ef=zeros (1, count);
FVABS=zeros (count_plot+1, count_lambda);
FVABS2=zeros (count_plot+1, count_lambda) ;
OUTCOUPLE=zeros (count_plot, count_lambda) ;
CC=zeros (1, count_lambda) ;
$\mathrm{C}=$ zeros $(1$, count_lambda) ;
$\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{zeros}($ count_lambda, nchan) ;
$\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{zeros}(1$, count_lambda) ;
$\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{zeros}(1, \mathrm{Na})$;
$G=z e r o s(1, N a) ;$
$\mathrm{G} 1=\operatorname{zeros}(1, \mathrm{Na})$;
$\mathrm{L}=\operatorname{zeros}(1, \mathrm{Na}) ;$
$\mathrm{O}=$ zeros $(1, \mathrm{Na})$;
B1=zeros ( 1 , count) ;
Ey=zeros ( 1 , count +1 );
Eyl=zeros (1, count_plot+1);
Eyy=zeros (1, count+1);
Eyy $1=\operatorname{zeros}(1$, count_plot+1);
$\% \%$ Calculated Parameters \% \% \%

```
w=2 *pi/lambdac;
kit=w*nwit;
ka=w * nwa;
kot=w*nwot;
xlower=-((Na*(wa))+(Na-1)*da)/2;
xupper =- (((Na-2)*(wa)) +((Na-1)*da)) /2;
zra=(pi*nwa*(wa/2)*(wa/2))/lambdac;
zrit=(pi*nwit*(wit/2)*(wit/2))/lambdac;
zrot=(pi*nwot*(wot/2)*(wot/2))/lambdac;
gratingorder=round((neff (2)*lambdac) / (nchan*dlambda*ng));
f=(ns/gratingorder)*((warray*winput)/dlambda);
dL=gratingorder*lambdac/neff(2);
xincr=(wa)/(count);
a0=(xupper+xlower)/2;
corrAmpArray=0.55;
corrAmpInput=0.55;
corrphasearray=1;
corrphasein=1;
    %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% INPUT FPR BEGINS %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
x=- (wa)/2;
for l=1:(count+1);
    Ey(l)=exp (-(ka*x*x)/(2*zra));
    Eyy(l)=Ey(l)*Ey(l);
    x=x+xincr;
end
x=linspace((-wa)/2,(wa)/2, count+1);
Amp=trapz(x, Eyy);
Z=1/(1+(0.414*corrAmpInput*(f/zrit)));
x=linspace(-(Na*warray)/2,(Na*warray)/2, count+1);
B2=(Z)*exp(-(kit*zrit.*x.*x)/(2*((f^2)+(zrit^2))));
Amp1=trapz(x,B2.*B2);
```

```
for j=1:Na
    x=-(Na*warray)/2;
    a1=(j-1) *warray+a0;
        for l=1:count+1;
            if((xlower+((j-1)*warray)) <x && x<(xupper)+((j-1)*warray));
                Ef(l)=(1/sqrt(Amp))*exp (-(ka.*(x-a1) .*(x-a1)) /(2*zra));
                    else
                Ef(l)=0;
            end
        x=x+extra;
end
x=linspace(-(Na*warray)/2,(Na*warray)/2,count+1);
    G(j)=trapz(x,(B2.*Ef));
        G1(j)=G(j)*G(j);
        J=trapz(x,(Ef.*Ef));
        K=(J*Amp1);
        L(j)=G1(j)/(K);
        A(j)=sqrt(L(j)/Amp);
end
                    %%%%%% INPUT FPR ENDS HERE %%%%%%%%%%
            %%%%%%%% OUTPUT FPR BEGINS %%%%%%%%%%%%%
```

```
do=-(nchan-1) *woutput/2;
```

do=-(nchan-1) *woutput/2;
lambdac=1.55e-6;
lambdac=1.55e-6;
x=- (wot);
x=- (wot);
w=2 *pi/lambdac;

```
w=2 *pi/lambdac;
```

```
for l=1:(count_plot+1);
    Ey1(l)=exp(-(kit*x*x)/(2*zrit));
    Eyy1(1)=Ey1(1)*Ey1(1);
    x=x+extraoutput;
end
x=linspace(-(wot),(wot), count_plot+1);
Amp=trapz(x,Eyy1);
for k=1:nchan;
    filename='inputFPRfields.xlsx';
    O=xlsread(filename);
    A=sqrt(02/Amp);
    phi=zeros(Na,Na);
    phiexp=zeros(Na,Na);
    lambda=lambdastart;
    for j=1:count_lambda;
    Z=1/(1+(0.414*corrAmpArray*(f/zra)));
    w=2*pi/lambda;
    BS=w*ns;
    phis=BS*2*f;
    x=do- (wot);
    for n=1:count_plot+1;
        for i=1:Na;
            neff1(i)=(neffTM-(p(i)*dneff));
            Beff(i)=(2*pi*neff1(i))/lambda;
            Bout=Z*exp (-(ka*zra*x*x) /(2*((f^2) +(zra^2))));
            phi(n,i)=(phis+(BS*(41-(2*i))*warray*x/(2*f))+(Beff(i)*(Lo+((i-1)*dL))));
            phiexp(n,i) = A(i)*Bout*exp(1i*phi(n,i));
        end
        FVABS(n,j)=abs(sum(phiexp(n,:)));
        FVABS2 (n,j) =FVABS (n,j) *FVABS (n,j);
        OUTCOUPLE (n,j)=Ey1 (n)*FVABS (n,j);
        x=x+extraoutput;
    end
```

```
    x=linspace((do-(wot)),(do+(wot)), count_plot+1);
    yy=trapz(x,OUTCOUPLE (:,j));
    yyy=trapz(x,FVABS2(:,j));
    C(j)=(yy*Yy)/(Amp*Yyy);
    CC(j)=C(j) *YYY;
    y(j)=10* log10(CC(j)/sum(L));
    lambda=lambda+tunelambda;
    H(j,k)=y(j);
    k
    j
    end
q=lambdastart:tunelambda:lambdastop;
plot(q,y);
hold on;
do=do+woutput;
end
toc;
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% OUTPUT FPR ENDS % % % % % % % % % %
```
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